

American  
National  
Standard



ANSI/AAMI  
SW87:2012

Application of quality  
management system  
concepts to medical  
device data systems

# Objectives and uses of AAMI standards and recommended practices

It is most important that the objectives and potential uses of an AAMI product standard or recommended practice are clearly understood. The objectives of AAMI's technical development program derive from AAMI's overall mission: the advancement of medical instrumentation. Essential to such advancement are (1) a continued increase in the safe and effective application of current technologies to patient care, and (2) the encouragement of new technologies. It is AAMI's view that standards and recommended practices can contribute significantly to the advancement of medical instrumentation, provided that they are drafted with attention to these objectives and provided that arbitrary and restrictive uses are avoided.

A voluntary *standard* for a *medical device* recommends to the manufacturer the information that should be provided with or on the product, basic safety and performance criteria that should be considered in qualifying the device for clinical use, and the measurement techniques that can be used to determine whether the device conforms with the safety and performance criteria and/or to compare the performance characteristics of different products. Some standards emphasize the information that should be provided with the device, including performance characteristics, instructions for use, warnings and precautions, and other data considered important in ensuring the safe and effective use of the device in the clinical environment. Recommending the disclosure of performance characteristics often necessitates the development of specialized test methods to facilitate uniformity in reporting; reaching consensus on these tests can represent a considerable part of committee work. When a drafting committee determines that clinical concerns warrant the establishment of *minimum* safety and performance criteria, referee tests must be provided and the reasons for establishing the criteria must be documented in the rationale.

A *recommended practice* provides guidelines for the use, care, and/or processing of a medical device or system. A recommended practice does not address device performance *per se*, but rather procedures and practices that will help ensure that a device is used safely and effectively and that its performance will be maintained.

Although a device standard is primarily directed to the manufacturer, it may also be of value to the potential purchaser or user of the device as a frame of reference for device evaluation. Similarly, even though a recommended practice is usually oriented towards healthcare professionals, it may be useful to the manufacturer in better understanding the environment in which a medical device will be used. Also, some recommended practices, while not addressing device performance criteria, provide guidelines to industrial personnel on such subjects as sterilization processing, methods of collecting data to establish safety and efficacy, human engineering, and other processing or evaluation techniques; such guidelines may be useful to health care professionals in understanding industrial practices.

In determining whether an AAMI standard or recommended practice is relevant to the specific needs of a potential user of the document, several important concepts must be recognized:

All AAMI standards and recommended practices are *voluntary* (unless, of course, they are adopted by government regulatory or procurement authorities). The application of a standard or recommended practice is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document.

Each AAMI standard or recommended practice reflects the collective expertise of a committee of health care professionals and industrial representatives, whose work has been reviewed nationally (and sometimes internationally). As such, the consensus recommendations embodied in a standard or recommended practice are intended to respond to clinical needs and, ultimately, to help ensure patient safety. A standard or recommended practice is limited, however, in the sense that it responds generally to perceived risks and conditions that may not always be relevant to specific situations. A standard or recommended practice is an important *reference* in responsible decision-making, but it should never *replace* responsible decision-making.

Despite periodic review and revision (at least once every five years), a standard or recommended practice is necessarily a static document applied to a dynamic technology. Therefore, a standards user must carefully review the reasons why the document was initially developed and the specific rationale for each of its provisions. This review will reveal whether the document remains relevant to the specific needs of the user.

Particular care should be taken in applying a product standard to existing devices and equipment, and in applying a recommended practice to current procedures and practices. While observed or potential risks with existing equipment typically form the basis for the safety and performance criteria defined in a standard, professional judgment must be used in applying these criteria to existing equipment. No single source of information will serve to identify a particular product as "unsafe". A voluntary standard can be used as one resource, but the ultimate decision as to product safety and efficacy must take into account the specifics of its utilization and, of course, cost-benefit considerations. Similarly, a recommended practice should be analyzed in the context of the specific needs and resources of the individual institution or firm. Again, the rationale accompanying each AAMI standard and recommended practice is an excellent guide to the reasoning and data underlying its provision.

In summary, a standard or recommended practice is truly useful only when it is used in conjunction with other sources of information and policy guidance and in the context of professional experience and judgment.

## INTERPRETATIONS OF AAMI STANDARDS AND RECOMMENDED PRACTICES

Requests for interpretations of AAMI standards and recommended practices must be made in writing, to the AAMI Vice President, Standards Policy and Programs. An official interpretation must be approved by letter ballot of the originating committee and subsequently reviewed and approved by the AAMI Standards Board. The interpretation will become official and representation of the Association only upon exhaustion of any appeals and upon publication of notice of interpretation in the "Standards Monitor" section of the *AAMI News*. The Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation disclaims responsibility for any characterization or explanation of a standard or recommended practice which has not been developed and communicated in accordance with this procedure and which is not published, by appropriate notice, as an *official interpretation* in the *AAMI News*.

# Application of quality management system concepts to medical device data systems

Developed by  
**Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation**

Approved 23 May 2012 by  
**American National Standards Institute, Inc.**

**Abstract:** This recommended practice provides information that will allow the medical device data system manufacturer to implement a quality management system that is commensurate with the risk presented by the device, the complexity of device and manufacturing processes, and the size and complexity of organization.

**Keywords:** MDDS, quality management, medical device, medical device data systems

## AAMI Recommended Practice

This Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) recommended practice implies a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. The existence of an AAMI recommended practice does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether they have approved the recommended practice or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard. AAMI recommended practices are subject to periodic review, and users are cautioned to obtain the latest editions.

**CAUTION NOTICE:** This AAMI recommended practice may be revised or withdrawn at any time. AAMI procedures require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this recommended practice no later than five years from the date of publication. Interested parties may obtain current information on all AAMI documents by calling or writing AAMI.

All AAMI standards, recommended practices, technical information reports, and other types of technical documents developed by AAMI are *voluntary*, and their application is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document. Occasionally, voluntary technical documents are adopted by government regulatory agencies or procurement authorities, in which case the adopting agency is responsible for enforcement of its rules and regulations.

### *Published by*

Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation  
4301 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 301  
Arlington, VA 22203-1633  
[www.aami.org](http://www.aami.org)

© 2012 by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

All Rights Reserved

Publication, reproduction, photocopying, storage, or transmission, electronically or otherwise, of all or any part of this document without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation is strictly prohibited by law. It is illegal under federal law (17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*) to make copies of all or any part of this document (whether internally or externally) without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation. Violators risk legal action, including civil and criminal penalties, and damages of \$100,000 per offense. For permission regarding the use of all or any part of this document, complete the reprint request form at [www.aami.org](http://www.aami.org), or contact AAMI at 4301 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 301, Arlington, VA 22203-1633. Phone: +1-703-525-4890; Fax: +1-703-525-1067.

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 1-57020-439-X

## Contents

Glossary of equivalent standards .....	v
Committee representation .....	vii
Foreword .....	x
1 Introduction .....	1
1.1 Purpose of this document .....	1
1.2 Scope of this document .....	1
1.3 The intended audience for this document .....	2
1.4 The regulatory and standards context for this document .....	3
2 Quality management system .....	3
2.1 Management .....	3
2.1.1 Concepts .....	3
2.1.2 Discussion and points to consider .....	5
2.1.3 Reference points .....	8
2.2 Document and record controls .....	8
2.2.1 Concepts .....	8
2.2.2 Discussion and points to consider .....	8
2.2.3 Reference points .....	9
2.3 Design controls .....	10
2.3.1 Concepts .....	10
2.3.2 Discussion and points to consider .....	11
2.3.2.1 Design planning for an MDDS .....	11
2.3.2.2 Design input for an MDDS .....	12
2.3.2.3 Risk management of an MDDS .....	14
2.3.2.4 Design output of an MDDS .....	15
2.3.2.5 Design review of an MDDS .....	16
2.3.2.6 Design verification of an MDDS .....	17
2.3.2.7 Design validation of an MDDS .....	18
2.3.2.8 Design transfer of an MDDS .....	18
2.3.2.9 Design changes for an MDDS .....	19
2.3.2.10 Design history of an MDDS .....	19
2.3.3 Reference points .....	20
2.4 Supplier and purchasing controls .....	20
2.4.1 Concepts .....	20
2.4.2 Discussion and points to consider .....	21
2.4.3 Reference points .....	22
2.5 Corrective and preventive action (CAPA) .....	22
2.5.1 Concepts .....	22
2.5.1.1 Corrective action process .....	22
2.5.1.2 Preventive action process .....	22
2.5.2 Discussion and points to consider .....	22
2.5.3 Reference points .....	23
3 Glossary .....	23
4 Acronyms .....	25
5 References .....	26

## Figures

1 Quality management policies and processes .....	2
2 Model of a process-based quality management system .....	5

3	Example of a quality policy.....	5
4	Example of a document pyramid.....	9

**Tables**

1	Example of quality manual organization.....	7
2	Design control areas of focus.....	11

## Glossary of equivalent standards

International Standards adopted in the United States may include normative references to other International Standards. For each International Standard that has been adopted by AAMI (and ANSI), the table below gives the corresponding U.S. designation and level of equivalency to the International Standard. NOTE: Documents are sorted by international designation. The code in the US column, "(R)20xx" indicates the year the document was officially reaffirmed by AAMI. E.g., ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-4:2002/(R)2009 indicates that 10993-4, originally approved and published in 2002, was reaffirmed without change in 2009.

Other normatively referenced International Standards may be under consideration for U.S. adoption by AAMI; therefore, this list should not be considered exhaustive.

International designation	U.S. designation	Equivalency
IEC 60601-1:2005 Technical Corrigendum 1 and 2	ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1:2005/(R)2012 and ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1:2005/A2:2010/(R)2012 ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1:2005/C1:2009/(R)2012 (amdt)	Major technical variations C1 Identical to Corrigendum 1 & 2
IEC 60601-1-11:2010	ANSI/AAMI HA60601-1-11:2011	Major technical variations
IEC 60601-1-2:2007	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-1-2:2007/(R)2012	Identical
IEC 60601-2-2:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-2:2009	Identical
IEC 60601-2-4:2010	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-4:2010	Identical
IEC 60601-2-16:2008	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-16:2008	Identical
IEC 60601-2-19:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-19:2009	Identical
IEC 60601-2-20:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-20:2009	Identical
IEC 60601-2-21:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-21:2009	Identical
IEC 60601-2-24:1998	ANSI/AAMI ID26:2004/(R)2009	Major technical variations
IEC 60601-2-27:2011	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-27:2011	Identical
IEC 60601-2-47:2001	ANSI/AAMI EC38:2007	Major technical variations
IEC 60601-2-50:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-50:2009	Identical
IEC 80001-1:2010	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 80001-1:2010	Identical
IEC 80601-2-30:2009 and Technical Corrigendum 1	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 80601-2-30:2009 and ANSI/AAMI/IEC 80601-2-30:2009/C1:2009 (amdt) – consolidated text	Identical (with inclusion) C1 Identical to Corrigendum 1
IEC 80601-2-58:2008	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 80601-2-58:2008	Identical
IEC/TR 60878:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC TIR60878:2003	Identical
IEC/TR 61289:2011	ANSI/AAMI/IEC TIR61289:2011	Identical
IEC/TR 62296:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC TIR62296:2009	Identical
IEC 62304:2006	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 62304:2006	Identical
IEC/TR 62348:2006	ANSI/AAMI/IEC TIR62348:2006	Identical
IEC/TR 62354:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC TIR62354:2009	Identical
IEC 62366:2007	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 62366:2007	Identical
IEC/TR 80002-1:2009	ANSI/IEC/TR 80002-1:2009	Identical
ISO 5840:2005	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 5840:2005/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 7198:1998	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 7198:1998/2001/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 7199:2009 and Amendment 1:2012	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 7199:2009 and Amendment 1:2012	Identical
ISO 8637:2010	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 8637:2010	Identical
ISO 8638:2010	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 8638:2010	Identical
ISO 10993-1:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-1:2009	Identical
ISO 10993-2:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-2:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 10993-3:2003	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-3:2003/(R)2009	Identical
ISO 10993-4:2002 and Amendment 1:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-4:2002/(R)2009 and Amendment 1:2006/(R)2009	Identical
ISO 10993-5:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-5:2009	Identical
ISO 10993-6:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-6:2007/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 10993-7:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-7:2008	Identical
ISO 10993-9:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-9:2009	Identical
ISO 10993-10:2010	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-10:2010	Identical
ISO 10993-11:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-11:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 10993-12:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-12:2007	Identical
ISO 10993-13:2010	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-13:2010	Identical
ISO 10993-14:2001	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-14:2001/(R)2006	Identical
ISO 10993-15:2000	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-15:2000/(R)2006	Identical
ISO 10993-16:2010	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-16:2010	Identical
ISO 10993-17:2002	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-17:2002/(R)2008	Identical
ISO 10993-18:2005	ANSI/AAMI BE83:2006/(R)2011	Major technical variations
ISO/TS 10993-19:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR10993-19:2006	Identical
ISO/TS 10993-20:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR10993-20:2006	Identical
ISO 11135-1:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11135-1:2007	Identical

<b>International designation</b>	<b>U.S. designation</b>	<b>Equivalency</b>
ISO/TS 11135-2:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR11135-2:2008	Identical
ISO 11137-1:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11137-1:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11137-2:2006 (2006-08-01 corrected)	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11137-2:2006	Identical
ISO 11137-3:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11137-3:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11138-1:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-1:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11138-2:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-2:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11138-3:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-3:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11138-4:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-4:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11138-5:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-5:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO/TS 11139:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11139:2006	Identical
ISO 11140-1:2005	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11140-1:2005/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11140-3:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11140-3:2007	Identical
ISO 11140-4:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11140-4:2007	Identical
ISO 11140-5:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11140-5:2007	Identical
ISO 11607-1:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11607-1:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11607-2:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11607-2:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 11663:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11633:2009	Identical
ISO 11737-1:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11737-1:2006	Identical
ISO 11737-2:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11737-2:2009	Identical
ISO/TS 12417:2011	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR12417:2011	Identical
ISO 13408-1:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-1:2008/(R)2011	Identical
ISO 13408-2:2003	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-2:2003	Identical
ISO 13408-3:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-3:2006	Identical
ISO 13408-4:2005	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-4:2005	Identical
ISO 13408-5:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-5:2006	Identical
ISO 13408-6:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-6:2006	Identical
ISO 13485:2003	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13485:2003/(R)2009	Identical
ISO 13958:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13958:2009	Identical
ISO 13959:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13959:2009	Identical
ISO 14155:2011	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14155:2011	Identical
ISO 14160:2011	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14160:2011	Identical
ISO 14161:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14161:2009	Identical
ISO 14708-3:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14708-3:2008	Identical
ISO 14708-4:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14708-4:2008	Identical
ISO 14708-5:2010	ANSI/AAMI /ISO 14708-5:2010	Identical
ISO 14937:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14937:2009	Identical
ISO/TR 14969:2004	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR14969:2004	Identical
ISO 14971:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971:2007/(R)2010	Identical
ISO 15223-1:2007 and A1:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15223-1:2007/(R)2012 and A1:2008/(R)2012	Identical
ISO 15223-2:2010	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15223-2:2010	Identical
ISO 15225:2010	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15225:2010	Identical
ISO 15674:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15674:2009	Identical
ISO 15675:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15675:2009	Identical
ISO 15882:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15882:2008	Identical
ISO 15883-1:2006	ANSI/AAMI ST15883-1:2009	Major technical variations
ISO/TR 16142:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR16142:2005	Identical
ISO 17664:2004	ANSI/AAMI ST81:2004	Major technical variations
ISO 17665-1:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 17665-1:2006	Identical (with inclusions)
ISO/TS 17665-2:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR17665-2:2009	Identical
ISO 18472:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 18472:2006/(R)2010	Identical
ISO/TS 19218-1:2011	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR19218:2011	Identical
ISO 20857:2010	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 20857:2010	Identical
ISO 22442-1:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 22442-1:2007	Identical
ISO 22442-2:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 22442-2:2007	Identical
ISO 22442-3:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 22442-3:2007	Identical
ISO/TR 22442-4:2010	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR22442-4:2010	Identical
ISO 23500:2011	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 23500:2011	Identical
ISO/TS 23810:2012	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR23810:2012	Identical
ISO 25539-1:2003 and A1:2005	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 25539-1:2003/(R)2009 and A1:2005/(R)2009	Identical
ISO 25539-2:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 25539-2:2008	Identical
ISO 26722:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 26722:2009	Identical
ISO 27185:2012	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 27185:2012	Identical
ISO 27186:2010	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 27186:2010	Identical
ISO 80369-1:2010	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 80369-1:2010	Identical
ISO 81060-1:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 81060-1:2007	Identical
ISO 81060-2:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 81060-2:2009	Identical

## Committee representation

### Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation Medical Device Software Committee

This AAMI recommended practice was developed by the AAMI Medical Device Data Systems Working Group under the auspices of the AAMI Medical Device Software Committee. Approval of the recommended practice does not necessarily mean that all working group members voted for its approval.

At the time this document was published, the **AAMI Medical Device Software Committee** had the following members:

*Cochairs:* Sherman Eagles, SoftwareCPR  
John F. Murray, Jr., BS MS CSQE, FDA/CDRH

*Members:* Michael Attili, Amaxo Inc.  
Catherine Bahr, GE Healthcare  
Robert A. Bowser, STERIS Corporation  
Michael L. Brendel, Spacelabs Medical Inc.  
Kimberly Colasanti, Welch Allyn Inc.  
Conor Curtin, Fresenius Medical Care Renal Therapies Group  
Theresa Dennis, Sterigenics International  
Harsh Dharwad, Hospira Worldwide Inc.  
Christie Anita Evans, Hill-Rom Holdings  
Christine M. Flahive, Chris Flahive Associates  
Kenneth J. Fuchs, Mindray DS USA Inc.  
Kerry A. Griffin, PMP CQE, 3M Healthcare  
Rickey L. Hampton, Partners HealthCare Systems (Independent Expert)  
John C. Hoffman, Quality Management Resources LLC  
Jeremy Jensen, Boston Scientific Corporation  
Ed R. Kimmelman, BME, JD (Independent Expert)  
Thomas Kleinhenz, TUV SUD America  
Patricia Krantz, Medtronic Inc. WHQ Campus  
Alan Kusnitz, SoftwareCPR  
Bernie Liebler, Advanced Medical Technology Association  
Dongping Lin, PhD (Independent Expert)  
Jared Mauldin, Integrated Medical Systems  
Mary Beth McDonald, St Jude Medical Inc.  
Steven D. McDonnell, Baxter Healthcare Corporation  
Julia Merritt, MPR Associates Inc.  
Mulugeta Mideksa, BME, Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital (Independent Expert)  
Mark C. Miller, Covidien  
John F. Murray, Jr., BS MS CSQE, FDA/CDRH  
Geoffrey A. Pascoe, MSCCS BEE GSEC (Independent Expert)  
Ken Persen, Cameron Health Inc. (Independent Expert)  
Dewey Phan, Becton Dickinson & Company  
Dave Pouliot, Baxa Corporation  
Inhel Rekik, MS, University of Maryland Medical System (Independent Expert)  
Victor Rodrigues, Cochlear Ltd.  
Miguel Rodriguez, Johnson & Johnson  
Daniel Rubery, NxStage Medical Inc.  
Harvey Rudolph, PhD, Underwriters Laboratories Inc.  
Richard A. Schrenker, Massachusetts General Hospital  
Xianyu Shea, Stryker Instruments Division  
Carla Sivak, Edwards LifeSciences  
Donna-Bea Tillman, PhD, Microsoft Health Solutions Group  
Stephen Vastagh, Medical Imaging & Technology Alliance a Division of NEMA  
David W. Walker, SEI Partner for CMMI Services (Independent Expert)  
Mark H. Walker, Focus Compliance & Validation Services

*Alternates:* John Walz, IEEE (Independent Expert)  
Gregory Whitney, CR Bard  
Daidi Zhong, PhD, Chongqing University (Independent Expert)  
Aziz Bhai, Hill-Rom Holdings  
Christopher P. Clark, Bausch & Lomb Inc.  
Richard W. De La Cruz, Hospira Worldwide Inc.  
Christina DeMur, Draeger Medical Systems Inc.  
Sherman Eagles, SoftwareCPR  
Rich Eaton, Medical Imaging & Technology Alliance a Division of NEMA  
Jeff Gilham, Spacelabs Medical Inc.  
Svetlana V. Gontcharenko, Baxter Healthcare Corporation  
Ed Heierman, PhD, Abbott Laboratories  
James P. Hempel, Covidien  
Jinhua Huang, CQE, CSQE, CMQ/OE, GE Healthcare  
Joshua Kim, Welch Allyn Inc.  
Yimin Li, Stryker Instruments Division  
Don McAndrews, Philips Electronics North America  
David McCall, STERIS Corporation  
Darin Seth Oppenheimer, Johnson & Johnson  
Joe Petruzzelli, Mindray DS USA Inc.  
Shane Pew, Becton Dickinson & Company  
Chris Rice, MPR Associates Inc.  
Robert Smith, St Jude Medical Inc.

---

NOTE--Participation by federal agency representatives in the development of this document does not constitute endorsement by the federal government or any of its agencies.

---

At the time this document was published, the **AAMI Medical Device Data Systems Working Group** had the following members:

*Cochairs:* Yadin David, EdD CCE PE HCSP, Biomedical Engineering Consultants LLC (Independent Expert)  
Sherman Eagles, SoftwareCPR

*Members:* John F. Murray, Jr., BS MS CSQE, FDA/CDRH  
Carlos Arglebe, Siemens Industry Inc.  
Catherine Bahr, GE Healthcare  
Chas Burr, SM MBA, Chas Burr Q/R Services  
Theodore J. Cohen, CCE, UC Davis Medical Center (Independent Expert)  
Yadin David, EdD CCE PE HCSP, Biomedical Engineering Consultants LLC (Independent Expert)  
Douglas Dreps, St Johns Mercy Medical Center of Saint Louis (Independent Expert)  
Sherman Eagles, SoftwareCPR  
Joseph Freitas, CareFusion  
David J. Geraghty, Spacelabs Medical Inc.  
Julian Goldman, MD, Massachusetts General Hospital  
Rickey L. Hampton, Partners HealthCare Systems (Independent Expert)  
John C. Hoffman, Quality Management Resources LLC  
Robert F. Hyatt, Intermountain Health Care  
Jeremy Jensen, Boston Scientific Corporation  
Thomas M. Judd, MS PE CCE CPHQ FACCE, Kaiser Foundation Hospitals  
Ed R. Kimmelman, BME, JD (Independent Expert)  
Bernie Liebler, Advanced Medical Technology Association  
Michael Marchlik, McKesson Corp (Independent Expert)  
Mary Beth McDonald, St Jude Medical Inc.  
Mulugeta Mideksa, BME, Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital (Independent Expert)  
John F. Murray, Jr., BS MS CSQE, FDA/CDRH  
Michael Papa, CBET (Independent Expert)  
Geoffrey A. Pascoe, MSCCS BEE GSEC (Independent Expert)  
Tammy M. Pelnik, The St Vrain Group Inc (Independent Expert)  
Dave Pouliot, Baxa Corporation  
Steven R. Rakitin, Software Quality Consulting (Independent Expert)  
Inhel Rekik, MS, University of Maryland Medical System (Independent Expert)  
Maureen Richard, Covidien  
Chris Riha, Carilion Roanoke Memorial Hospital (Independent Expert)  
Victor Rodrigues, Cochlear Ltd.

Daniel Rubery, NxStage Medical Inc.  
Khader B. Saad, MSc, Medtronic Inc. WHQ Campus  
Nathaniel M. Sims, MD, Massachusetts General Hospital  
Paul Smolenski, Philips Electronics North America  
Greg Staudenmaier, MS CFAAMA CPHIMS PMP, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs  
Donna-Bea Tillman, PhD, Microsoft Health Solutions Group  
Mark H. Walker, Focus Compliance & Validation Services  
Marilyn Waxberg, Siemens Industry Inc  
Daidi Zhong, PhD, Chongqing University (Independent Expert)  
*Alternates:* Thomas G. Busmann, PE, Focus Compliance & Validation Services  
Bruce A Friedman, D.Eng, GE Healthcare  
Megan Friel, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs  
Sandy Hedberg, SoftwareCPR  
Allen Hobbs, Kaiser Permanente  
Bakul Patel, MSEE MBA, FDA/CDRH  
Richard A. Schrenker, Massachusetts General Hospital  
Joseph Tsiakals, Baxa Corporation

---

NOTE--Participation by federal agency representatives in the development of this document does not constitute endorsement by the federal government or any of its agencies.

---

## Foreword

Advances in health information technology are radically changing the way health care is delivered. To ensure the safety and effectiveness of medical device data systems (MDDSs), a quality management approach is needed. Regulators, such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), have reinforced this concept by requiring organizations that develop or provide medical device data systems<sup>1</sup> to implement a quality management system. The methods for meeting quality management system requirements for MDDSs might be different from those used for traditional medical devices because

- a) an MDDS usually exists on a network that often contains non-medical device software;
- b) an MDDS might change more frequently than traditional medical devices;
- c) risk might not be easily assessed because use of an MDDS involves the interconnection of previously disparate systems beyond the direct control of the MDDS developer; and
- d) there might not be a physical MDDS product.

The task for organizations that develop or provide an MDDS is to adopt the guiding principles and intent of accepted standards for medical device quality management systems while acknowledging the unique challenges that exist in the MDDS domain. Existing quality management system standards identify key areas of focus for ensuring quality, and these remain relevant to MDDSs. However, tools and methods used to address these areas of concern might be different. This guidance will address five key areas of focus and provide suggestions to organizations that develop or provide an MDDS about how to establish a quality management system that is consistent with creating a safe and effective MDDS, meeting user requirements, and providing value, as well as beginning to support compliance with regulatory requirements.

This recommended practice is primarily intended for MDDS developers who have not previously implemented a medical device quality management system. Quality is a journey. This document provides a way to take the first steps. It focuses on five foundational elements of a quality management system: management responsibility, document control, design control, supplier control, and corrective and preventive action. As implementation progresses, reference should be made to the more detailed guidance, standards, and regulations presented in Section 5.

As used within the context of this document, “shall” indicates requirements to be strictly followed in order to conform to the recommended practice; “should” indicates that among several possibilities one is recommended as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others, or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required, or that (in the negative form) a certain possibility or course of action should be avoided but is not prohibited; “may” is used to indicate that a course of action is permissible within the limits of the standard; and “can” is used as a statement of possibility and capability. “Must” is used only to describe “unavoidable” situations, including those mandated by government regulation.

This recommended practice should be considered flexible and dynamic. AAMI and ANSI procedures require that recommended practices be reviewed every five years and, if necessary, revised to reflect technological advances that might have occurred since publication.

Suggestions for improving this recommended practice are invited. Comments and suggested revisions should be sent to Standards Department, AAMI, 4301 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 301, Arlington, VA 22203-1633.

---

NOTE—This foreword does not contain provisions of the AAMI recommended practice, *Application of quality management system concepts to medical device data systems* (ANSI/AAMI SW87:2012), but it does provide important information about the development and intended use of the document.

---

---

<sup>1</sup> Organizations that develop or provide medical devices are referred to as “manufacturers” in regulations and standards

# Application of quality management system concepts to medical device data systems

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Purpose of this document

This document is an introduction to the subject of a quality management system for organizations that develop, provide, and support a medical device data system (MDDS) as defined in 21 CFR 880.6310. This document highlights five key quality management system processes that have relevance for these organizations. For organizations that have previously developed software that has not been regulated, this document can help them modify their processes to accommodate the regulatory and standards requirements and guidance relevant to MDDSs. For health care providers, this document can be of use in modifying their existing quality management systems to accommodate requirements and guidance for MDDSs. The information in this document might also be useful to manufacturers of traditional medical devices who are adding MDDS products to their product portfolio to tailor their existing quality management system for use with their MDDS products.

This document describes the importance of and provides guidance on the implementation of quality management systems to the design, development, provision, and support of medical device data systems as defined by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The FDA quality system regulation documented in 21 CFR 820 defines such a quality management system.

Proper implementation of a quality management system is essential to ensuring the safety and effectiveness of an MDDS. Safety considerations are addressed by including risk management in key activities of the quality management system. The degree to which safety needs to be addressed in the quality management system should be commensurate with the risk to the patient if the MDDS causes inaccessibility of data or compromises data integrity.

### 1.2 Scope of this document

The FDA requires organizations developing a medical device, including those developing an MDDS, to have a quality management system that complies with 21 CFR 820. Other regulatory jurisdictions might require the use of the international consensus standard developed by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO), ISO 13485:2003, *Medical devices—Quality management systems—Requirements for regulatory purposes*. These quality management systems can be viewed as seven interrelated processes, as shown in Figure 1, adapted from the FDA *Guide to Inspections of Quality Systems* (FDA, 1999).