



IPC-9709
2013 - November
**Test Guidelines for Acoustic
Emission Measurement during
Mechanical Testing**
A standard developed by IPC

Association Connecting Electronics Industries



The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

Why is there a charge for this document?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/597-2872.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-9709

Test Guidelines for Acoustic Emission Measurement during Mechanical Testing

Developed by the 6-10d SMT Attachment Reliability Test Methods Task
Group of the Product Reliability committee (6-10) of IPC

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the
development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S
Bannockburn, Illinois
60015-1249
Tel 847 615.7100
Fax 847 615.7105

Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources. While the principal members of the 6-10d SMT Attachment Reliability Test Methods Task Group of the Product Reliability Committee are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC extend their gratitude.

Product Reliability Committee

Chair

Reza Ghaffarian, Ph.D.
Jet Propulsion Laboratory

Vice Chair

James Monarchio
TTM Technologies, Inc.

SMT Attachment Reliability Test Methods Task Group

Chair

Reza Ghaffarian Ph.D.
Jet Propulsion Laboratory

Vice Chair

Vasu Vasudevan
Intel Corporation

6-10d SMT Attachment Reliability Test Methods Task Group

Neil Adams, Circuit Check Inc.

Mudasir Ahmad, Cisco Systems Inc.

Aileen Allen, Hewlett-Packard Company

Michael Azarian, University of Maryland

Elizabeth E. Benedetto, Hewlett-Packard Company

Trevor S. Bowers, Adtran Inc.

Nicole Butel, Avago Technologies

Beverley Christian, BlackBerry

Harold Ellison, Quantum Corporation

Dennis Fritz, MacDermid, Inc.

Enrico Galbiati, GEST Labs S.r.l. a Socio Unico

Allen Green, Acoustic Technology Group

David D. Hillman, Rockwell Collins

Christopher Hunt, National Physical Laboratory

Jeffrey C.B. Lee, Integrated Service Technology - ISTi

Anna Lifton, Alpha

Anne Lomonte, Draeger Medical Systems, Inc.

Alan McAllister, Intel Corporation

James J. Monarchio, TTM Technologies, Inc.

Jim Mulvey, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company

David Nelson, Raytheon Company

Keith G. Newman, Hewlett-Packard Company

Satish Parupalli, Intel Corporation

Jagadeesh Radhakrishnan, Intel Corporation

John M. Radman, Trace Laboratories - Denver

Gnyaneshwar Ramakrishna, Cisco Systems Inc.

Paul Reid, PWB Interconnect Solutions Inc.

Russell S. Shepherd, Microtek Laboratories Anaheim

Julie Silk, Agilent Technologies

Ramgopal Uppalapati, Intel Corporation

Wesley M. Wolverton, Raytheon Systems Company

Andy Zhang, Texas Instruments

A special note of thanks goes to Gnyaneshwar Ramakrishna of Cisco Systems Inc. for coordinating the development of this document.

Table of Contents

1 SCOPE	1	5.3 Calibration	4
1.1 Purpose	1	5.3.1 PLB Test, Effective Velocity, and Location Accuracy ...	4
1.2 Background	1	5.4 Basics on Linear and Planar Location	4
1.3 Performance Classification	1	5.5 Critical Metrics and Thresholds	5
1.4 Definition of Terms	1	6 MEASUREMENT ACCURACY AND EXPECTATIONS ...	5
1.4.1 Acoustic Emission (AE)	1	7 ANALYSIS AND REPORTING	6
1.4.2 Acoustic Emission Count	1	7.1 Correlation with Strain Value or Other Parameters	6
1.4.3 Acoustic Emission Signal	1	7.2 Analysis and Reporting	6
1.4.4 Average Signal Level	1	8 REFERENCES	6
1.4.5 Channel	1	Appendix A Typical Acoustic Instrumentation	
1.4.6 Couplant	1	Output in a Four Point Bend Test	7
1.4.7 Effective Velocity	1	Appendix B Pencil Lead Break Test and the	
1.4.8 Energy, Acoustic Emission Signal	1	Accuracies associated with Source	
1.4.9 Evaluation Threshold	1	Location	8
1.4.10 Event (Emission event)	1		
1.4.11 Hit	1	Figures	
1.4.12 Location Accuracy	2	Figure 5-1 Four Point Bend Set-up Schematic with Two AE	
1.4.13 Location, Computed	2	Sensors to Locate Acoustic Emission Event using	
1.4.14 Peak Amplitude	2	Linear Location Algorithm	3
1.4.15 Pencil Lead Break (PLB)	2	Figure 5-2 Four Point Bending Set-up Schematic with Four AE	
1.4.16 Sensor	2	Sensors to locate Acoustic Emission Event using	
1.4.17 Signature	2	Planar Location Algorithm	3
1.4.18 Absolute Energy	2	Figure 5-3 Spherical Bending Set-up Schematic with Four	
2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	2	Sensor to locate An Acoustic Event using Planar	
2.1 IPC (Normative)	2	Interpolation	4
2.2 ASTM (Informative)	2	Figure 5-4 Schematic of Bend Test Set-up	5
2.3 ASNT (Informative)	2	Figure A-1 Showing Typical Outputs for a Four Point	
3 SAMPLE SIZE	2	Bend Test	7
4 APPARATUS AND SETUP	2	Figure B-1 Example of Linear Source Location using PLB test;	
4.1 Data Acquisition Equipment	2	Linear Maximum Inaccuracies of 3.0 mm	
5 TEST VEHICLES	3	achieved in Testing	8
5.1 Sensor Layout	3	Figure B-2 Example of Spatial Source Location using	
5.2 Sensor Mounting	4	PLB test; Spatial Inaccuracies of 5.0 mm	
		achieved in Testing	8
		Tables	
		Table 5-1 Velocity Measurement Range and other Parameters	
		Observed through Various Four Point Bend Tests ...	4

Test Guidelines for Acoustic Emission Measurement during Mechanical Testing

1 SCOPE

This guideline document establishes an Acoustic Emission (AE) method to evaluate the performance and reliability of surface mount attachments of electronic assemblies during mechanical loading. Mechanical loading may include stressors such as four-point bend test, spherical bend test, or back-end manufacturing steps post surface mount attachment. The initial focus for this test method is to identify the printed board pad cratering mechanism and printed board material performance. This approach may eventually be extended to examine other failure modes depending on the guideline's evolution and adoption, as this methodology remains in development.

1.1 Purpose The purpose of this document includes:

- Identification of failure initiation time: this period may precede electrical detection of failures such as pad cratering damage during a mechanical stress test.
- Identification of the failure initiation location through detection of AE signals generated due to stress-induced physical damage.
- Estimation of the strain at which the acoustic failure event is observed, which can be utilized as a design guideline.
- Provision of standardized test guidelines and reporting procedures.

1.2 Background Pad cratering typically initiates prior to detection by existing electrical monitoring test methods. There are limited instrumentation techniques that are currently available that can identify non-electrical damage and its location to a high degree of accuracy. Alternative methodologies often require large sample sizes to estimate these virtually undetectable failure modes.

1.3 Performance Classification The specific reliability requirements need to be established by agreement between customer and supplier.

1.4 Definition of Terms The definition of all terms used herein **shall** be as specified in IPC-T-50, ASTM E1316, and as defined below.

1.4.1 Acoustic Emission (AE) The class of phenomena whereby transient stress/displacement waves are generated by the rapid release of acoustic energy from localized sources within a material, or the transient waves so generated.

1.4.2 Acoustic Emission Count The number of times the acoustic emission signal exceeds a preset threshold during any selected portion of a test.

1.4.3 Acoustic Emission Signal An electrical signal obtained by detection of one or more acoustic emission events.

1.4.4 Average Signal Level The rectified, time averaged AE logarithmic signal, measured on the AE amplitude logarithmic scale and reported in dB_{AE} units (where 0 dB_{AE} refers to $1 \mu\text{V}$ at the preamplifier input).

1.4.5 Channel An assembly of a sensor, preamplifier or impedance matching transformer, filters secondary amplifier or other instrumentation as needed, connecting cables, and detector or processor.

1.4.6 Couplant A material used at the structure-to-sensor interface to improve the transmission of acoustic energy across the interface during acoustic emission monitoring.

1.4.7 Effective Velocity Velocity calculated on the basis of arrival times and propagation distances determined by artificial AE generation. This quantity is used for computing the location of the AE.

1.4.8 Energy, Acoustic Emission Signal The energy contained in an acoustic emission signal, which is evaluated as the integral of the volt-squared function over time.

1.4.9 Evaluation Threshold A threshold value used for analysis of the examination data. Data may be recorded with a system examination threshold lower than the evaluation threshold.

1.4.10 Event (Emission event) An occurrence of a local material change or mechanical action resulting in acoustic emission.

1.4.11 Hit The detection and measurement of an AE signal on a channel.