



Criteria for Assessing Tectonic Surface Fault Rupture and Deformation at Nuclear Facilities

REAFFIRMED

May 4, 2020

ANSI/ANS-2.30-2015 (R2020)

An American National Standard

This standard has been reviewed and reaffirmed with the recognition that it may reference other standards and documents that may have been superseded or withdrawn. The requirements of this document will be met by using the version of the standards and documents referenced herein. It is the responsibility of the user to review each of the references and to determine whether the use of the original references or more recent versions is appropriate for the facility. Variations from the standards and documents referenced in this standard should be evaluated and documented.

This standard does not necessarily reflect recent industry initiatives for risk informed decision-making or a graded approach to quality assurance. Users should consider the use of these industry initiatives in the application of this standard.

Published by the
American Nuclear Society
555 N. Kensington Ave
La Grange Park, IL 60526



**American National Standard
Criteria for Assessing Tectonic Surface
Fault Rupture and Deformation
at Nuclear Facilities**

Secretariat
American Nuclear Society

Prepared by the
**American Nuclear Society
Standards Committee
Working Group ANS-2.30**

Published by the
**American Nuclear Society
555 North Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA**

Approved May 26, 2015
by the
American National Standards Institute, Inc.

American National Standard

Designation of this document as an American National Standard attests that the principles of openness and due process have been followed in the approval procedure and that a consensus of those directly and materially affected by the standard has been achieved.

This standard was developed under the procedures of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society; these procedures are accredited by the American National Standards Institute, Inc., as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The consensus committee that approved the standard was balanced to ensure that competent, concerned, and varied interests have had an opportunity to participate.

An American National Standard is intended to aid industry, consumers, governmental agencies, and general interest groups. Its use is entirely voluntary. The existence of an American National Standard, in and of itself, does not preclude anyone from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard.

By publication of this standard, the American Nuclear Society does not insure anyone utilizing the standard against liability allegedly arising from or after its use. The content of this standard reflects acceptable practice at the time of its approval and publication. Changes, if any, occurring through developments in the state of the art, may be considered at the time that the standard is subjected to periodic review. It may be reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn at any time in accordance with established procedures. Users of this standard are cautioned to determine the validity of copies in their possession and to establish that they are of the latest issue.

The American Nuclear Society accepts no responsibility for interpretations of this standard made by any individual or by any ad hoc group of individuals. Responses to inquiries about requirements, recommendations, and/or permissive statements (i.e., “shall,” “should,” and “may,” respectively) should be sent to the Standards Department at Society Headquarters. Action will be taken to provide appropriate response in accordance with established procedures that ensure consensus.

Comments on this standard are encouraged and should be sent to Society Headquarters.

Published by

**American Nuclear Society
555 North Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA**



This document is copyright protected.

Copyright © 2015 by American Nuclear Society. All rights reserved.

Any part of this standard may be quoted. Credit lines should read “Extracted from American National Standard ANSI/ANS-2.30-2015 with permission of the publisher, the American Nuclear Society.” Reproduction prohibited under copyright convention unless written permission is granted by the American Nuclear Society.

Printed in the United States of America

Inquiry Requests

The American Nuclear Society (ANS) Standards Committee will provide responses to inquiries about requirements, recommendations, and/or permissive statements (i.e., “shall,” “should,” and/or “may,” respectively) in American National Standards that are developed and approved by ANS. Responses to inquiries will be provided according to the Policy Manual for the ANS Standards Committee. Nonrelevant inquiries or those concerning unrelated subjects will be returned with appropriate explanation. ANS does not develop case interpretations of requirements in a standard that are applicable to a specific design, operation, facility, or other unique situation only, and therefore is not intended for generic application.

Responses to inquiries on standards are published in ANS’s magazine, *Nuclear News*, and are available publicly on the ANS Web site or by contacting the ANS Scientific Publications and Standards Department.

Inquiry Format

Inquiry requests must include the following:

- (1) the name, company name if applicable, mailing address, and telephone number of the inquirer;
- (2) reference to the applicable standard edition, section, paragraph, figure and/or table;
- (3) the purposes of the inquiry;
- (4) the inquiry stated in a clear, concise manner;
- (5) a proposed reply, if the inquirer is in a position to offer one.

Inquiries should be addressed to:

American Nuclear Society
ATTN: Scientific Publications and Standards Department
555 N. Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, IL 60526

or standards@ans.org

Foreword

(This foreword is not a part of the American National Standard “Criteria for Assessing Tectonic Surface Fault Rupture and Deformation at Nuclear Facilities,” ANSI/ANS-2.30-2015.)

This standard provides criteria and guidelines for assessing permanent ground deformation (PGD) hazard due to tectonic surface fault rupture and deformation at nuclear facilities. Specifically, the purpose of this standard is to provide an outline of procedures and methods for performing probabilistic fault displacement hazard analysis (PFDHA) and probabilistic tectonic deformation hazard analysis (PTDHA).

This standard replaces ANSI/ANS-2.7-1982, “Criteria and Guidelines for Assessing Capability for Surface Faulting at Nuclear Power Plants,” which is obsolete because of changes in the state-of-knowledge, technical methods, public concerns, and regulatory programs. This new standard includes updated information to make it useful for siting/licensing nuclear facilities in the U.S.

This standard is one of a series of national standards designed to provide criteria and guidelines to promote uniform and effective assessment of seismic hazards at nuclear facilities. These hazards must be properly identified and characterized commensurate with the level of risk and design-requirements associated with each nuclear facility as specified in ANSI/ANS-2.26-2004 (R2010), “Categorization of Nuclear Facility Structures, Systems, and Components for Seismic Design.”

Two complementary standards describe procedures for performing site characterization and assessing seismic hazards, respectively: ANSI/ANS-2.27-2008, “Criteria for Investigations of Nuclear Facility Sites for Seismic Hazard Assessments,” and ANSI/ANS-2.29-2008, “Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis.”

This standard might reference documents and other standards that have been superseded or withdrawn at the time the standard is applied. A statement has been included in the references section that provides guidance on the use of references.

The ANS-2.30 Working Group of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society (ANS) had the following membership:

I. Wong (Chair), *URS Corporation*

W. Bryant, *California Geological Survey*

R. Chen, *California Geological Survey*

K. Kelson, *URS Corporation* (now at *U.S. Army Corps of Engineers*)

J. Kimball, *Defense Nuclear Facility Safety Board* (now at *Rizzo Associates*)

J. Litehiser, *Bechtel Corporation*

S. Olig, *URS Corporation*

D. Schwartz, *U.S. Geological Survey*

A. Stieve, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*

D. Wells, *AMEC Environment & Infrastructure*

Subcommittee on Siting: Seismic had the following membership during its review of this standard:

Q. Hossain (Chair), *Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory*

R. Carpenter, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*

K. Hanson, *AMEC Environment & Infrastructure*

R. Kassawara, *Electric Power Research Institute*

F. Ostadan, *Bechtel Corporation*

J. Savy, *Individual*

I. Wong, *URS Corporation*

The Environmental and Siting Consensus Committee had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard:

C. A. Mazzola (Chair), *CB&I Federal Services*

Y. Gao (Vice Chair), *Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC*

T. Bellinger, *Y-12 National Security Complex*

K. Bryson, *Individual*

J. Call, *Omicron Research Corporation*

R. Carpenter, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*

Q. Hossain, *Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory*

J. O'Brien, *U.S. Department of Energy*

L. Parks, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*

T. Rasmussen, *University of Georgia*

J. Savy, *Individual*

J. D. Stevenson, *Individual*

S. Vigeant, *Chicago Bridge & Iron Federal Services*

Contents

Section	Page
1 Scope	1
2 Definitions and acronyms.....	2
2.1 Shall, should, and may	2
2.2 Definitions	2
2.3 Acronyms	5
3 PFDHA and PTDHA objectives.....	6
3.1 PSHA, PFDHA, and PTDHA process.....	6
3.2 Uncertainty assessment in PFDHA and PTDHA	7
3.3 Estimation of deformation hazard	8
4 General requirements to characterize PGD	8
5 Detailed requirements to characterize PGD	8
5.1 Investigations to support PGD characterization	9
5.1.1 PGD zone activity	10
5.1.2 PGD zone location, orientation, and width	10
5.1.3 Sense of movement	11
5.1.4 Distribution of PGD within PGD zone	11
5.1.5 Amount of coseismic deformation	12
5.1.6 Rate or recurrence of deformation	12
5.1.7 Maximum earthquake magnitude.....	13
5.2 Characterization activities	13
5.2.1 Selection of area for investigation.....	14
5.2.2 Review of available technical information	14
5.2.3 Analysis of tectonic setting	15
5.2.4 Detailed geologic and geomorphic mapping.....	15
5.2.5 Detailed geomorphic analyses	16
5.2.6 Subsurface investigations.....	16
5.3 Sources of uncertainty	16
6 PFDHA methodology	17
6.1 PFDHA model approaches	17
6.1.1 Fault offset – earthquake approach	17
6.1.2 Fault offset – displacement approach.....	18
6.1.3 Selection of approach for PFDHA	18
6.2 PFDHA model framework	18
6.2.1 PFDHA aleatory model.....	18
6.2.2 PFDHA epistemic uncertainty	19
6.2.3 Communication of uncertainty.....	19
6.3 PFDHA earthquake approach inputs	19
6.3.1 Evaluation of rupture location.....	19
6.3.2 Occurrence of surface rupture.....	20
6.3.3 Estimation of fault displacement.....	20
6.4 PFDHA displacement approach inputs	22
6.4.1 Evaluation of fault location and displacement.....	23

6.4.2	Recurrence rate/timing of past earthquakes	23
6.5	PFDHA results	24
7	PTDHA methodology.....	25
7.1	Model description.....	25
7.1.1	Tectonic deformation – earthquake approach.....	25
7.1.2	Tectonic deformation – displacement approach	25
7.1.3	Selection of approach for PTDHA.....	25
7.2	PTDHA model framework	26
7.2.1	PTDHA aleatory model	26
7.2.2	PTDHA epistemic uncertainty	26
7.2.3	Communication of uncertainty.....	27
7.3	PTDHA earthquake approach inputs.....	27
7.3.1	Evaluation of rupture location and geometry.....	27
7.3.2	Displacement on buried faults.....	28
7.3.3	Estimation of surface deformation	28
7.3.4	Recurrence rate	29
7.4	PTDHA displacement approach inputs	30
7.4.1	Evaluation of surface deformation	30
7.4.2	Recurrence rate	30
7.5	PTDHA results	30
8	Siting criteria	30
9	Documentation	34
10	Quality assurance and peer review	35
11	References	36

Appendix

Appendix A	Model Description – Earthquake Approach Example	42
------------	---	----

Figures

Figure 1	Example hazard curves for a site at Yucca Mountain	7
Figure 2	Site criteria conceptual approach.....	32
Figure A.1	Definition of variables used in the fault rupture hazard analysis	40
Figure A.2	Conditional probability of slip, $P_{kn}(Slip m/r)$, for distributed faulting	46
Figure A.3	Normalized distributions for displacement per event	48