

ACI 544.8R-16

Report on Indirect Method to Obtain Stress- Strain Response of Fiber- Reinforced Concrete (FRC)

Reported by ACI Committee 544



American Concrete Institute
Always advancing



Report on Indirect Method to Obtain Stress-Strain Response of Fiber-Reinforced Concrete (FRC)

Copyright by the American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI. All rights reserved. This material may not be reproduced or copied, in whole or part, in any printed, mechanical, electronic, film, or other distribution and storage media, without the written consent of ACI.

The technical committees responsible for ACI committee reports and standards strive to avoid ambiguities, omissions, and errors in these documents. In spite of these efforts, the users of ACI documents occasionally find information or requirements that may be subject to more than one interpretation or may be incomplete or incorrect. Users who have suggestions for the improvement of ACI documents are requested to contact ACI via the errata website at <http://concrete.org/Publications/DocumentErrata.aspx>. Proper use of this document includes periodically checking for errata for the most up-to-date revisions.

ACI committee documents are intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. Individuals who use this publication in any way assume all risk and accept total responsibility for the application and use of this information.

All information in this publication is provided “as is” without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose or non-infringement.

ACI and its members disclaim liability for damages of any kind, including any special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages, including without limitation, lost revenues or lost profits, which may result from the use of this publication.

It is the responsibility of the user of this document to establish health and safety practices appropriate to the specific circumstances involved with its use. ACI does not make any representations with regard to health and safety issues and the use of this document. The user must determine the applicability of all regulatory limitations before applying the document and must comply with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to, United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) health and safety standards.

Participation by governmental representatives in the work of the American Concrete Institute and in the development of Institute standards does not constitute governmental endorsement of ACI or the standards that it develops.

Order information: ACI documents are available in print, by download, on CD-ROM, through electronic subscription, or reprint and may be obtained by contacting ACI.

Most ACI standards and committee reports are gathered together in the annually revised ACI Manual of Concrete Practice (MCP).

American Concrete Institute
38800 Country Club Drive
Farmington Hills, MI 48331
Phone: +1.248.848.3700
Fax: +1.248.848.3701

www.concrete.org

Report on Indirect Method to Obtain Stress-Strain Response of Fiber-Reinforced Concrete (FRC)

Reported by ACI Committee 544

Barzin Mobasher^{*†}, Chair
Neven Krstulovic-Opara^{*}, Secretary

Clifford N. MacDonald, Membership Secretary

Corina-Maria Aldea
Emmanuel K. Attiogbe
Nemkumar Banthia
Joaquim Oliveira Barros^{*}
Gordon B. Batson
Peter H. Bischoff
Jean-Philippe Charron
Xavier Destree
Ashish Dubey
Mahmut Ekenel
Liberato Ferrara
Gregor D. Fischer
Dean P. Forgeron
Antonio Gallovich

Rishi Gupta
George C. Hoff
John Jones
David A. Lange
Maria Lopez de Murphy
Michael A. Mahoney
Bruno Massicotte
Christian Meyer
James Milligan
Nicholas C. Mitchell Jr.
Gerald H. Morton
Antoine E. Naaman^{*}
Jeffrey L. Novak
Giovanni A. Pizzari

Max L. Porter
Venkataswamy Ramakrishnan
Klaus Alexander Rieder
Pierre Rossi
Surendra P. Shah
Flavio de Andrade Silva
Kay Wille
Robert C. Zellers
Lihe Zhang

Consulting Members
P.N. Balaguru
Hiram Price Ball Jr.
Arnon Bentur
Andrzej M. Brandt
James I. Daniel
Sidney Freedman
Melvyn A. Galinat[‡]
Henry J. Malloy
Antoine E. Naaman

^{*}Members of the subcommittee that authored this report.

[†]Chair of the task group that drafted this report.

[‡]Deceased.

Development of proper design procedures for fiber-reinforced concrete (FRC) materials requires use of material tensile and compressive stress strains that reflect the contribution of fibers to the post-cracking behavior. While uniaxial tension tests provide the most fundamental material properties, conducting closed-loop tension tests are difficult to accomplish; therefore, methods based on indirect measurement of tensile properties using flexural tests are typically used.

This report presents the methodologies that are used for data reduction and presentation of the flexural test results in terms of an equivalent tensile stress-strain response for FRC materials. Existing methods for estimating uniaxial tensile stress-strain response of strain-softening and hardening FRCs from flexural beam-test data are introduced. Different approaches applied to beam tests based on elastic equivalent, curve fitting, or back-cal-

ulation of flexural data are introduced. These are divided into two general categories: elastic equivalent approach or inverse analysis method. In the elastic equivalent approach, a summary of available test methods by various code agencies are presented.

Using back-calculation methods, tools based on the finite element method and analytical closed-form solutions are presented. An approach is presented that uses closed-form moment-curvature relationships and obtains load-deflection responses for a beam of three- or four-point loading. The method is used to obtain equivalent parametric tensile stress and strain relationships for a variety of FRC materials. The methods are compared against the available residual strength and also elastically equivalent residual strengths obtained by different specimen geometries.

Results for a range of FRC materials studied show the back-calculated post-peak residual tensile strength is approximately 30 to 37 percent of the elastically equivalent flexural residual strength for specimens with different fiber types and volume fractions.

Keywords: fiber-reinforced concrete; inverse analysis; tensile stress-strain diagram.

ACI Committee Reports, Guides, and Commentaries are intended for guidance in planning, designing, executing, and inspecting construction. This document is intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. The American Concrete Institute disclaims any and all responsibility for the stated principles. The Institute shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising therefrom.

Reference to this document shall not be made in contract documents. If items found in this document are desired by the Architect/Engineer to be a part of the contract documents, they shall be restated in mandatory language for incorporation by the Architect/Engineer.

ACI 544.8R-16 was adopted and published March 2016.

Copyright © 2016, American Concrete Institute.

All rights reserved including rights of reproduction and use in any form or by any means, including the making of copies by any photo process, or by electronic or mechanical device, printed, written, or oral, or recording for sound or visual reproduction or for use in any knowledge or retrieval system or device, unless permission in writing is obtained from the copyright proprietors.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE, p. 2

1.1—Introduction, p. 2

1.2—Scope, p. 3

CHAPTER 2—NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS, p. 3

2.1—Notation, p. 3

2.2—Definitions, p. 4

CHAPTER 3—TENSILE AND FLEXURAL TESTING AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES, p. 4

3.1—Tension and flexural testing, p. 4

3.2—Strain softening and hardening, p. 4

3.3—Deflection softening and hardening, p. 5

3.4—Equivalent tensile stress-strain responses, p. 6

3.5—Inverse analysis methods, p. 6

CHAPTER 4—TEST METHODS, p. 6

4.1—Test and specimen types, p. 6

4.2—Stress-strain diagrams, p. 7

4.3—Stress-strain diagram in RILEM approach, p. 7

4.4—Flexural tensile strength $f_{t,fl}$ and residual flexural tensile strengths $f_{R,1}$ and $f_{R,4}$, p. 7

4.5—Relationship between uniaxial tensile stress and flexural strength, p. 8

4.6—Tensile stress-strain diagrams and strain values ϵ_1 , ϵ_2 , and ϵ_3 , p. 8**CHAPTER 5—STRESS-STRAIN CURVES BY BACK-CALCULATION APPROACH, p. 10**

5.1—Parametric stress-strain curves, p. 10

5.2—Back-calculation of flexural test data, p. 12

5.3—Comparison with averaged residual strength results, p. 12

5.4—Comparison with RILEM and JCI methods, p. 13

CHAPTER 6—CONCLUSIONS, p. 13**CHAPTER 7—REFERENCES, p. 14**

Authored documents, p. 14

APPENDIX A—SPREADSHEET-BASED INVERSE ANALYSIS PROCEDURES, p. 16

A.1—Simplified strain-softening/hardening fiber-reinforced concrete model, p. 16

A.2—Derivation of moment-curvature diagram, p. 17

A.3—Derivation of nominal flexural strength, p. 17

A.4—Simplified moment curvature diagram, p. 19

A.5—Load-deflection response, p. 20

A.6—Example: Three-point bending test, p. 21

CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE**1.1—Introduction**

This report provides guidelines for obtaining uniaxial stress-strain curves of fiber-reinforced concrete (FRC) from

beam test data. In many FRC systems, the contribution of fibers is apparent after the concrete cracks and the fibers that bridge such cracks start to debond and pullout, thus resisting its opening and generating a force that transfers the loads across the crack. The magnitude of load carried by the fibers depends on the opening of the crack width; it can be normalized with respect to the cracked area and referred to as a residual strength. The role that fibers play in bridging a main tensile crack is therefore characterized as resisting crack opening, also referred to as bridging force and is represented as an average effective stress and described by a tensile stress-crack width relationship. A majority of FRC mixtures exhibit a distinct stress-crack width relationship that can also be integrated with the initial elastic response of the composite into a combined nonlinear stress-strain response.

The stress-crack width relationship can be represented as an equivalent stress-strain response by assuming a characteristic length parameter to smear the crack width into a nominal strain distribution. If mechanical tests that only focus on the tensile strength (ASTM C496/C496M) or flexural strength (ASTM C78/C78M) of the FRC are conducted, this contribution, herein referred to as residual strength, is either inaccurate, not reported, or reported in terms of parameters that may not be useful for design or analysis. Furthermore, accurate measurements of tension tests that capture the post-peak response are difficult to conduct; therefore, many agencies use flexural tests as an indication of tensile response.

While the tension test theoretically shows the true material behavior and the flexural test represents a structural response, a flexural test is often used as a means of property measurement. The difference between the tension and flexural test results of many FRC materials is that in a tension test, the post-peak tensile stress-crack width response does not influence the maximum load obtained by the member. In a flexural test, however, the maximum load can be directly related to the residual stress levels such that the overall behavior can be affected by the post-peak response.

An alternative method is to calculate post-cracking behavior using the experimental flexural results and reduce them into a set of material parameters that are in compliance with the model assumptions. This topic is the subject of this report. The testing methodologies are discussed in detail in committee reports such as ACI 544.3R. The present report addresses procedures to obtain an effective tensile stress-strain curve from the experimental results.

Many structural systems that use FRC, such as structural floors or indeterminate structures, can exhibit an increase in strength values in proportion to the residual strength, which is a direct contribution of fibers; however, this parameter is only measured in a qualitative way using flexural tests such as ASTM C1609/C1609M.

To develop and apply design procedures for FRC materials, simplified equations are needed to account for the fiber's contribution to the tensile response, especially after cracking has occurred. This report addresses methods to compute the stress transfer after cracking is initiated in a concrete section.