

ACI 423.10R-16

# Guide to Estimating Prestress Loss

Reported by Joint ACI-ASCE Committee 423



American Concrete Institute  
*Always advancing*



## Guide to Estimating Prestress Loss

Copyright by the American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI. All rights reserved. This material may not be reproduced or copied, in whole or part, in any printed, mechanical, electronic, film, or other distribution and storage media, without the written consent of ACI.

The technical committees responsible for ACI committee reports and standards strive to avoid ambiguities, omissions, and errors in these documents. In spite of these efforts, the users of ACI documents occasionally find information or requirements that may be subject to more than one interpretation or may be incomplete or incorrect. Users who have suggestions for the improvement of ACI documents are requested to contact ACI via the errata website at <http://concrete.org/Publications/DocumentErrata.aspx>. Proper use of this document includes periodically checking for errata for the most up-to-date revisions.

ACI committee documents are intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. Individuals who use this publication in any way assume all risk and accept total responsibility for the application and use of this information.

All information in this publication is provided “as is” without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose or non-infringement.

ACI and its members disclaim liability for damages of any kind, including any special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages, including without limitation, lost revenues or lost profits, which may result from the use of this publication.

It is the responsibility of the user of this document to establish health and safety practices appropriate to the specific circumstances involved with its use. ACI does not make any representations with regard to health and safety issues and the use of this document. The user must determine the applicability of all regulatory limitations before applying the document and must comply with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to, United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) health and safety standards.

Participation by governmental representatives in the work of the American Concrete Institute and in the development of Institute standards does not constitute governmental endorsement of ACI or the standards that it develops.

Order information: ACI documents are available in print, by download, on CD-ROM, through electronic subscription, or reprint and may be obtained by contacting ACI.

Most ACI standards and committee reports are gathered together in the annually revised ACI Manual of Concrete Practice (MCP).

**American Concrete Institute**  
**38800 Country Club Drive**  
**Farmington Hills, MI 48331**  
**Phone: +1.248.848.3700**  
**Fax: +1.248.848.3701**

[www.concrete.org](http://www.concrete.org)

# Guide to Estimating Prestress Losses

Reported by Joint ACI-ASCE Committee 423

Carin L. Roberts-Wollmann\*, Chair

Amy M. Reineke Trygestad, Secretary

Theresa M. Ahlborn\*  
Robert W. Barnes†  
Florian G. Barth  
Asit N. Baxi†  
Roger J. Becker  
Kenneth B. Bondy†  
Charles W. Dolan†  
James P. Donnelly  
Pierre Esselinck†

Martin J. Fradua  
William L. Gamble  
Harry A. Gleich†  
Shawn P. Gross  
Pawan R. Gupta  
William M. Hale  
H. R. Trey Hamilton III†  
Carol Hayek  
Mohammad Iqbal

Donald P. Kline  
Larry B. Krauser†  
Jason J. Krohn‡  
Mark E. Moore‡  
Theodore L. Neff  
Sami H. Rizkalla  
James Rogers  
Bruce W. Russell  
David H. Sanders

Thomas C. Schaeffer  
Morris Schupack‡  
Richard W. Stone  
Miroslav F. Vejvoda†  
Jeffery S. Volz  
H. Carl Walker  
Zuming Xia†  
Paul Zia\*\*

\*Co-chairs of subcommittee responsible for preparation of report.

†Subcommittee members.

\*\*Deceased

## Consulting Members

Robert N. Bruce Jr.  
Ned H. Burns  
Chunsheng “Steve” Caid

Steven R. Close  
Henry J. Cronin Jr.  
Ward N. Marianos Jr.

Hani Melhem  
Antoine E. Naaman  
Thomas E. Nehil

Andrea J. Schokker

*This guide is intended for estimation of prestress losses in concrete structures. Methods presented include lump sum, simplified approaches addressing individual source of loss, and additional estimation methods. They address losses in pretensioned and post-tensioned members, including bonded, unbonded, and external tendons. Note that these estimation methods have not been evaluated for relative merits. A discussion of the variability of prestress losses caused by the variability in concrete properties is also presented. Several example problems are included.*

**Keywords:** creep; friction; post-tensioning; prestress loss; prestressed concrete; relaxation; shrinkage.

ACI Committee Reports, Guides, and Commentaries are intended for guidance in planning, designing, executing, and inspecting construction. This document is intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. The American Concrete Institute disclaims any and all responsibility for the stated principles. The Institute shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising therefrom.

Reference to this document shall not be made in contract documents. If items found in this document are desired by the Architect/Engineer to be a part of the contract documents, they shall be restated in mandatory language for incorporation by the Architect/Engineer.

## CONTENTS

### CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION, p. 2

- 1.1—Introduction, p. 2
- 1.2—Scope, p. 2
- 1.3—Historical development, p. 3
- 1.4—Guide organization and use, p. 3

### CHAPTER 2—NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS, p. 4

- 2.1—Notation, p. 4
- 2.2—Definitions, p. 7

### CHAPTER 3—LUMP-SUM METHOD, p. 7

- 3.1—Scope, p. 7
- 3.2—Historical code requirements, p. 7
- 3.3—Industry practice, p. 8
- 3.4—Measured losses, p. 8

ACI 423.10R-16 was adopted and published August 2016.

Copyright © 2016, American Concrete Institute

All rights reserved including rights of reproduction and use in any form or by any means, including the making of copies by any photo process, or by electronic or mechanical device, printed, written, or oral, or recording for sound or visual reproduction or for use in any knowledge or retrieval system or device, unless permission in writing is obtained from the copyright proprietors.

**CHAPTER 4—INITIAL LOSSES, p. 12**

- 4.1—Scope, p. 12
- 4.2—Pretensioning losses before transfer, p. 13
- 4.3—Elastic shortening losses in pretensioned members, p. 16
- 4.4—Post-tensioning losses during tensioning and transfer, p. 18
- 4.5—Elastic shortening loss in post-tensioned members, p. 21
- 4.6—Elastic gain under superimposed loads, p. 22

**CHAPTER 5—LONG-TERM LOSSES: SIMPLIFIED METHOD, p. 22**

- 5.1—Scope, p. 22
- 5.2—Creep of concrete ( $\Delta f_{pCR}$ ), p. 23
- 5.3—Concrete shrinkage ( $\Delta f_{pSH}$ ), p. 23
- 5.5—AASHTO LRFD approximate estimate of time-dependent losses, p. 25

**CHAPTER 6—LONG-TERM LOSSES: DETAILED METHODS, p. 25**

- 6.1—Scope, p. 25
- 6.2—Creep and shrinkage models, p. 25
- 6.3—Age-adjusted effective modulus approaches, p. 26
- 6.4—Incremental time-step method, p. 30
- 6.5—Computer programs, p. 31
- 6.6—Effects of deck temperature during casting of composite deck or topping, p. 31

**CHAPTER 7—VARIABILITY OF LOSS CALCULATIONS, p. 32**

- 7.1—Objective, p. 32
- 7.2—Scope, p. 32
- 7.3—Contributions to prestress loss, p. 32
- 7.4—Modulus of elasticity, p. 33
- 7.5—Creep, p. 35
- 7.6—Variational analysis, p. 35
- 7.7—Shrinkage case study, p. 36
- 7.8—Self-consolidating concrete, p. 36
- 7.9—Conclusions, p. 37

**CHAPTER 8—EXAMPLES, p. 38**

- 8.1—Pretensioned double-tee beam, p. 38
- 8.2—Post-tensioned slab with unbonded tendons, p. 48
- 8.3—Post-tensioned beam with bonded tendons, p. 52
- 8.4—Example with heat of hydration during casting, p. 57

**CHAPTER 9—REFERENCES, p. 61**

- Authored documents, p. 61

**CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION****1.1—Introduction**

Estimating prestress loss at any given time during the life of a prestressed concrete member is a complex issue. In pretensioned and post-tensioned members, applying prestressing force causes shortening of the concrete member that, in turn, causes a loss of tendon stress. Over time, concrete creep,

concrete shrinkage, and steel relaxation result in additional reductions of tendon stress. In post-tensioned members, losses occur during the stressing operation due to friction between the tendon and sheathing or duct, which is caused by the intended and unintended tendon curvature. There are also losses due to seating of the wedges or nuts as the jacking force is transferred into the anchorage device. These and other sources of prestress loss are examined by the licensed design professional to get an estimate of the total prestress loss and resulting effective prestressing force.

Losses have inherent variability due to variations of material properties and environmental and curing conditions. Some losses may affect others. Time-dependent concrete properties are particularly difficult to estimate accurately, so losses due to creep and shrinkage are expected to be variable. Friction between the tendon and sheathing or duct, movement of wedges within the anchorage device, and modulus of elasticity of concrete are also variables. The variability within each component and the interdependence among the components make it understandable that studies comparing measured prestress losses to predictions have shown that accurate and consistent calculation of prestress loss is difficult to achieve.

The best effort to calculate prestress loss is only an estimate and, therefore, the licensed design professional should consider the consequences of actual losses being higher or lower than the estimated value. Estimation of prestress loss is an important factor for evaluating the serviceability of all types of prestressed members and the calculation of flexural strength of members with unbonded tendons. The estimation of prestress loss, however, is not a significant factor in determination of flexural strength of bonded prestressed members. When computing the shear strength of prestressed members with little or no transverse reinforcement, a conservative estimate of the effective prestressing force is warranted.

**1.2—Scope**

ACI 318-11 requires that the design of prestressed concrete members allow for prestress loss; however, the required level of detail for calculating losses is unspecified. The friction loss provisions for post-tensioned construction that first appeared in ACI 318-63 were removed from ACI 318-11. Although ACI 318-11 Commentary indicates that the lump sum method is obsolete, the licensed design professional's requirement to choose a method to compute losses remains. This guide is intended to aid the designer in this choice by providing an overview of the various methods available.

Many participants in the design and construction process need information on prestress losses. The licensed design professional, precasters, and post-tensioners all need an understanding of, and method to estimate, aspects of losses. To which entity is responsible for calculation of each type of loss has to be clearly defined in the contract documents.

Total losses,  $\Delta f_{pT}$ , are losses due to friction and seating  $\Delta f_{pFS}$ , elastic shortening  $\Delta f_{pES}$ , creep of concrete  $\Delta f_{pCR}$ , shrinkage of concrete  $\Delta f_{pSH}$ , and relaxation of tendons  $\Delta f_{pRE}$ . This can be expressed as Eq. (1.2)

$$\Delta f_{pT} = \Delta f_{pFS} + \Delta f_{pES} + \Delta f_{pCR} + \Delta f_{pSH} + \Delta f_{pRE} \quad (1.2)$$

This guide presents background information and methods to calculate each type of loss.

Following the introduction and a list of notation and definitions, **Chapter 3** includes a historical account of the lump sum method, currently recommended values for preliminary design, and a summary of losses that have been measured in field and laboratory studies.

**Chapter 4** discusses the different types of initial losses and addresses the differences between pretensioned and post-tensioned members.

**Chapter 5** presents a simplified approach to estimate long-term losses due to creep, shrinkage, and relaxation for pretensioned and post-tensioned concrete members.

Detailed approaches to estimate long-term losses are presented in **Chapter 6**, which also addresses changes in prestressing force caused by differential shrinkage and hydration of the concrete deck in composite members. The approaches can be used for pretensioned or post-tensioned members.

**Chapter 7** discusses the variability of prestress loss calculations caused by concrete material properties, including compressive strength at transfer, modulus of elasticity, and creep and shrinkage.

**Chapter 8** presents example problems and compares solutions from different methods.

### 1.3—Historical development

The concept of prestressing concrete dates back to the late 1800s (**Naaman 2012**). The performance of early prestressed concrete structures was adversely affected by time-dependent strains in the concrete—for example, creep and shrinkage, which were nearly as large as the initial steel strain due to prestressing. Before 1940, the initial steel strain induced by prestressing was limited by the low yield strength of steel. French engineer Eugene Freyssinet recognized the significance of prestress losses and the need for steels with high yield strength for prestressed applications. By 1945, higher strength steel became available, making it possible to produce the initial prestressing strain large enough so that the time-dependent strains developed in the concrete would not overcome the initial prestressing strain. As a result, the remaining prestressing force in the steel would be sufficiently large to be effective.

Prestress losses were first addressed by ACI 318 in 1963. Although the provisions catalogued the different causes of prestress loss, they only provided specific instruction on determining friction losses. These code provisions were based on an earlier committee publication that provided similar, slightly more detailed guidance on prestress loss (**ACI-ASCE Committee 323 1958**).

In the 1970s, the Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institute (**PCI Committee on Prestress Losses 1975**) and **Zia et al. (1979)** provided more detailed methods to estimate prestress losses. Since the 1970s, others have developed methods to estimate prestress losses (**Tadros et al. 2003**; **Seguirant and Anderson 1985**; **Youakim et al. 2007**; **Garber et al. 2013**).

**Gilbert and Ranzi (2011)** and **Branson (1977)** provide general approaches to the calculation of a variety of time-dependent effects in concrete structures, including prestress losses. Computer programs have been developed to perform the tedious calculations required for stepwise analyses of prestress loss. However, due to the inherent uncertainties associated with material properties, construction practices, and in-service conditions, even the most refined calculations result in prestress loss predictions that differ from measured values.

**1.3.1 Currently available guidance on estimating prestress losses**—For pretensioned building products, the *PCI Design Handbook (PCI 2010)* presents a method to estimate prestress losses based on the method developed by Zia et al. (1979). This method is widely used for building structures and is referenced in the R18.6.1 commentary of **ACI 318-11**, and presented in this guide in Chapter 5. For bridge beams, the “AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specification” (**AASHTO 2012**) presents two methods. One is an approximate method and the other a refined method based on several parameters to estimate prestress losses. The refined method could be applied to building products as well. These methods are presented in Chapters 5 and 6.

### 1.4—Guide organization and use

This guide presents a variety of approaches for estimating prestress losses in pretensioned and post-tensioned members. This section identifies relevant sections of interest in the guide, depending on member type (pretensioned or post-tensioned) and level of effort (lump sum, simplified, or detailed). The lump sum method is only recommended for preliminary designs. The simplified method is appropriate for most typical designs. Detailed methods are most often used for more complex structures, which may have staged construction and prestressing operations.

**1.4.1 Pretensioned members**—Losses for pretensioned members are classified as initial or long-term. One group of initial loss occurs during stressing and before transfer of prestress due to friction, seating losses, and temperature effects. It is the precaster’s responsibility to understand the magnitude of these losses and account for them to provide the specified strand stress before transfer. Information on these types of losses is found in:

- (a) Anchorage seating—**4.2.1**
- (b) Form and abutment deformations—**4.2.2**
- (c) Thermal effects—**4.2.4**
- (d) Steel relaxation—**4.2.5**

Another initial loss is elastic shortening of the member that occurs at the time of transfer. As the prestress force is transferred to concrete, the member shortens. The steel and concrete are fully bonded, so the steel shortens with the concrete. This shortening causes a loss in stress in the prestressing steel, known as the elastic shortening loss, which should be accounted for by the designer. Long-term losses occur due to concrete creep and shrinkage and prestressing steel relaxation. Other changes of tendon force can occur due to temperature effects and external loads placed on the member at the time of casting or in service.