

An ACI Technical Publication

SYMPOSIUM VOLUME



Evaluation of Concrete Bridge  
Behavior through Load Testing –  
International Perspectives

Editors:  
Eva Lantsoght and Pinar Okumus

SP-323



American Concrete Institute  
*Always advancing*



# Evaluation of Concrete Bridge Behavior through Load Testing – International Perspectives

Editors:  
Eva Lantsoght and  
Pinar Okumus



American Concrete Institute

*Always advancing*

SP-323

First printing, May 2018

Discussion is welcomed for all materials published in this issue and will appear ten months from this journal's date if the discussion is received within four months of the paper's print publication. Discussion of material received after specified dates will be considered individually for publication or private response. ACI Standards published in ACI Journals for public comment have discussion due dates printed with the Standard.

The Institute is not responsible for the statements or opinions expressed in its publications. Institute publications are not able to, nor intended to, supplant individual training, responsibility, or judgment of the user, or the supplier, of the information presented.

The papers in this volume have been reviewed under Institute publication procedures by individuals expert in the subject areas of the papers.

Copyright © 2018  
AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE  
38800 Country Club Dr.  
Farmington Hills, Michigan 48331

All rights reserved, including rights of reproduction and use in any form or by any means, including the making of copies by any photo process, or by any electronic or mechanical device, printed or written or oral, or recording for sound or visual reproduction or for use in any knowledge or retrieval system or device, unless permission in writing is obtained from the copyright proprietors.

On the cover: Load test of a cable stayed bridge over Wisłok River, Poland. Photograph by Dr. K. Wilde.

Printed in the United States of America

Editorial production: Susan K. Esper

ISBN-13: 978-1-64195-007-7

## Preface

Load testing of concrete bridges is a practice with a long history. Historically, and particularly before the unification of design and construction practices through codes, load testing was performed to show the travelling public that a newly built bridge was safe for use. Nowadays, with the aging infrastructure and increasing loads in developed countries, load testing is performed mostly for existing structures either as diagnostic or proof tests. For newly built bridges, diagnostic load testing may be required as a verification of design assumptions, particularly for atypical bridge materials, designs, or geometries. For existing bridges, diagnostic load testing may be used to improve analysis assumptions such as composite action between girders and deck, and contribution of parapets and other nonstructural members to stiffness. Proof load testing may be used to demonstrate that a structure can carry a given load when there are doubts with regard to the effect of material degradation, or when sufficient information about the structure is lacking to carry out an analytical assessment.

In recent years, both researchers and practicing engineers worldwide have been refining load testing methods to balance accuracy, cost, effort, and time, and have been addressing increasingly complex structures and situations. To exchange international experiences among a global group of researchers and compare load testing methods used internationally, ACI Committee 342 organized two sessions titled “Evaluation of Concrete Bridge Behaviour through Load Testing – International Perspective” at the 2017 ACI Fall Convention in Anaheim, CA. This Special Publication contains several technical papers from experts who presented their work at these sessions, in addition to papers submitted for publication only.

This Special Publication combines contributions from different regions of the world, and in particular from Denmark, Germany, the Netherlands, Poland, Spain, Sweden, and from different regions in the United States. The technical papers consider both theoretical and practical aspects of load testing, discuss different levels of bridge behaviour assessment such as visual inspections, modelling, and load testing. They introduce the reader to the codes and guidelines that may only be available in some countries. The impact of differences in live loads, design codes, reserve capacities, age of structures, construction practices between Europe, and North America on assessment of concrete bridges is reflected by case studies. Recent developments with regard to codes and standards around the world for load testing are discussed, and open questions for future developments are highlighted by the authors.

The wide variety of concrete bridge structures investigated included short-span reinforced concrete slab bridges, older reinforced concrete earth-filled arch bridges, bridges that have been damaged and/or retrofitted, and modern prestressed concrete bridges with new materials. Reasons why load testing is required also vary and include apparent damage, opportunities created by decommissioned bridges, necessity to carry super heavy vehicles, use of unique materials or geometry, and absence of design plans. Results of testing bridges under static or dynamic service loads create knowledge on expected service

performance and allow load ratings, while testing decommissioned bridges to near collapse or collapse reveals true capacity and the level of conservatism in design assumptions. Several papers highlight vehicles or rigs designed specifically for reuse in standardized load testing. Others use recent technology such as 3-D scanning or digital image correlation to collect data, in addition to traditional methods such as strain gauges. As such, this Special Publication provides a global perspective on strategies for assessing the in-service performance of concrete bridges, and an overview of the state-of-the-art with regard to load testing internationally.

Overall, in this Special Publication, authors from different backgrounds and geographical locations share their experiences and perspectives on load testing and its impact on understanding concrete bridge behaviour. The coeditors, Dr. Pinar Okumus and Dr. Eva Lantsoght, are grateful for the contributions of the Special Publication authors and sincerely value the time and effort of the authors in preparing the papers in this volume.

Eva Lantsoght and Pinar Okumus  
Co-Editors

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### **SP-323—1**

Assessment of Slab Bridges through Proof Loading in the Netherlands  
Authors: Eva O. L. Lantsoght, Cor van der Veen, Ane de Boer, and Dick A. Hordijk

### **SP-323—2**

Load Testing of Highly Skewed Concrete Bridges  
Authors: Mauricio Diaz Arancibia and Pinar Okumus

### **SP-323—3**

Rating of Concrete Road Bridges with Static Proof Load Tests  
Authors: Anna Halicka, Dick A. Hordijk, and Eva O.L. Lantsoght

### **SP-323—4**

Bridge Load Testing and Monitoring for Super-Heavy Permit Loads  
Authors: Brett Commander and Jesse Sipple

### **SP-323—5**

Rating of Prestressed Concrete Adjacent Beam Bridges without Plans  
Authors: Carlos V. Aguilar, David V. Jáuregui, Brad D. Weldon, and Craig M. Newtonson

### **SP-323—6**

Bridge Load Testing In Germany  
Authors: Gregor Schacht, Frederik Wedel, and Steffen Marx

### **SP-323—7**

Diagnostic Load Testing Of Concrete Bridges, Principles and Example  
Authors: Joan Ramon Casas, Piotr Olaszek, Juliusz Ciesla, and Krzysztof Germaniuk

### **SP-323—8**

Assessment and Loading to Failure of Three Swedish RC Bridges  
Authors: Jonny Nilimaa, Cristian Sabau, Niklas Bagge, Arto Puurula, Gabriel Sas, Thomas Blanksvärd, Björn Täljsten, Anders Carolin, Björn Paulsson, and Lennart Elfgren

### **SP-323—9**

High Magnitude Loading of Concrete Bridges  
Authors: Jacob W. Schmidt, Philip S. Halding, Thomas W. Jensen, and Svend Englund

### **SP-323—10**

Torsional Effects on Load Tests to Quantify Shear Distribution in Prestressed Concrete Girder Bridges  
Authors: Benjamin Z. Dymond, Catherine E. W. French, and Carol K. Shield

### **SP-323—11**

Diagnostic Test for Load Rating of a Prestressed SCC Bridge  
Authors: E. S. Hernandez and J.J. Myers

### **SP-323—12**

Extending the Life of Aged, Reinforced Concrete Arch Bridges through Load Testing and Monitoring  
Authors: Jeffrey Weidner, John Prader, Nathaniel Dubbs, Franklin L. Moon, A. Emin Aktan, John Taylor, and Clifford J. Skeens



**ASSESSMENT OF SLAB BRIDGES THROUGH PROOF LOADING IN THE NETHERLANDS**

Eva O. L. Lantsoght, Cor van der Veen, Ane de Boer and Dick A. Hordijk

**Synopsis:** A large subset of the Dutch bridge stock consists of reinforced concrete slab bridges, for which assessment often results in low ratings. To prioritize the efforts of the bridge owner, more suitable assessment methods for slab bridges are necessary. Research efforts over the past years resulted in the development of several methods, at levels requiring increasing costs, time, and effort for increasing accuracy. The last option, when an analytical assessment is not possible due to uncertainties, is to use proof load testing to evaluate the bridge directly. To develop recommendations for the proof load testing of reinforced concrete slab bridges for the Netherlands, different methods are combined: pilot proof load tests on bridges with and without material damage, a collapse test, tests on beams taken from an existing bridge and new beams with similar dimensions cast in the laboratory, and an extensive literature review. The result of this study is a set of recommendations that describe how to prepare and execute a proof load test, and how to analyze the results. This paper summarizes the research program about proof load testing from the Netherlands and gives an overview of the currently developed recommendations and topics for further research.

**Keywords:** field testing; flexure; measurements; proof load testing; reinforced concrete; shear; slab bridges