

IPC J-STD-004B
w/Amendment 1
November 2011
Supersedes J-STD-004B
December 2008

JOINT INDUSTRY STANDARD

Requirements for
Soldering Fluxes



The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

Why is there a charge for this document?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/597-2872.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC J-STD-004B with Amendment 1

Requirements for Soldering Fluxes

A standard developed by the Flux Specifications Task Group (5-24a)
of the Assembly and Joining Processes Committee (5-20) of IPC

Supersedes:

J-STD-004B - December 2008
J-STD-004A - January 2004
J-STD-004 - January 1995
Amendment 1 - April 1996

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the
development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC

3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S
Bannockburn, Illinois 60015-1249
Tel 847 615.7100
Fax 847 615.7105

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources. While the principal members of the Flux Specifications Task Group (5-24a) of the Assembly and Joining Processes Committee (5-20) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC extend their gratitude.

Assembly and Joining Processes Committee

Chair
Leo P. Lambert
EPTAC Corporation

Vice-Chair
Renee J. Michaelkiewicz
Trace Laboratories - East

Flux Specifications Task Group

Chair
Renee J. Michaelkiewicz
Trace Laboratories - East

Vice-Chair
John H. Rohlfing
Delphi Electronics and Safety

Technical Liaisons of the IPC Board of Directors

Dongkai Shangguan
Flextronics International

Shane Whiteside
TTM Technologies

Flux Specifications Task Group

David Adams, Rockwell Collins
Satoru Akita, Senju Comtek Corp.
Patricia Amick, Boeing Aircraft & Missiles
Victor Balasbas, Electronic Assembly Services
Philip Baskin, Superior Flux & Manufacturing Co.
Dr. Yehuda Baskin, Superior Flux & Manufacturing Co.
Allan Beikmohamadi, E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Co.
Elizabeth Benedetto, Hewlett-Packard Company
Christine Blair, STMicroelectronics Inc.
Thomas Carroll, Boeing Aircraft & Missiles
Philip Chen, L-3 Communications Electronic Systems
Dr. Beverly Christian, Research In Motion Limited
Gordon Clark, Koki Company Limited
Derek Daily, Senju Comtek Corporation
Gerjan Diepstraten, Cobar Europe BV
Dr. Kantesh Doss, Intel Corporation
Gary Ewell, The Aerospace Corporation
Mark Fulcher, Continental AG

Kevin Gaugler, EFD Inc., Solder Paste Group
William Gesick, Amtech, Inc.
William Graack, SeaBotix Inc.
Hue Green, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company
Dr. Leslie Guth, Alcatel-Lucent
David Hillman, Rockwell Collins
Helen Holder, Hewlett-Packard Company
Dr. Christopher Hunt, National Physical Laboratory
Dr. Jennie Hwang, Asahi Technologies America, Inc.
Dana Imler, Amtech, Inc.
Tim Jensen, Indium Corporation of America
Prakash Kapadia, Celestica
Donald Karp, Trace Laboratories - Central
Dr. William Kenyon, Global Centre Consulting
Vincent Kinol, Umicore America Inc.
Vijay Kumar, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control
Mark Kwoka, Intersil Corporation
Dr. Tim Lawrence, Henkel Limited
Paul Lotosky, Cookson Electronics
Kelvin Low, Sigma Ming Goa Electronics (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.
James Maguire, Intel Corporation

Chris Mahanna, Robisan Laboratory Inc.
Ian Malcolm McDonald, SunChemical Circuits
Douglas McKernan, Amtech, Inc.
Jay Messner, Boeing Company
Anton Zoran Miric, W. C. Heraeus GmbH & Co. KG
James Moffitt, Moffitt Consulting Services
Dr. Kil-Won Moon, NIST
Terry Munson, Foresite, Inc.
Graham Naisbitt, Gen3 Systems Limited
Paul Niemczura, Heraeus, Inc.
Tek Sing Ong, Cookson Electronics
Deepak Pai, General Dynamics-Advanced Information
Douglas Pauls, Rockwell Collins
Timothy Pitsch, Plexus Corp.
Dr. Ajith Premasiri, Amtech, Inc.
Steve Radabaugh, Hewlett-Packard Company
John Radman, Trace Laboratories - Denver
Stanton Rak, Continental AG
Artemis Record, Vicor Corporation
Joseph Rousseau, Precision Analytical Laboratory, Inc.
Amir Salehi, Apple Inc.
Karl Sauter, Sun Microsystems Inc.

David Sbiroli, Indium Corporation of America	John Snyder, Heraeus, Inc.	Dr. Laura Turbini, Research In Motion Limited
David Scheiner, Kester	Paco Solis, Foresite, Inc.	Karthik Vijayamadhavan, Indium Corporation of America
Karl Seelig, AIM, Inc.	John Sovinsky, Indium Corporation of America	Greg Vorhis, Coastal Technical Services
Lowell Sherman, Defense Supply Center Columbus	Keith Sweatman, Nihon Superior Co., Ltd.	Ge Wang, Northrop Grumman Space Technology
Dr. Akikazu Shibata, JPCA-Japan Electronics Packaging and Circuits Association	Dr. Karen Tellefsen, Cookson Electronics	Dr. Malcolm Warwick, Henkel Ltd.
Rocky Shih, Hewlett-Packard Company	Dung Tiet, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company	Dewey Whittaker, Honeywell Inc. Air Transport Systems
Masato Shimamura, Senju Metal Industry Co., Ltd.	Dr. Brian Toleno, Henkel Corporation	Michael Yuen, Foxconn CMMMSG-NVPD
Joseph Slanina, Honeywell Inc.	Kristin Troxel, Hewlett-Packard Company	Sheila (Ailan) Zhu, Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd.
James Slattery, Indium Corporation of America	Kaichi Tsuruta, Senju Metal Industry Co. (SMIC), Ltd.	

Table of Contents

1	SCOPE AND DESIGNATION	1	3.4.1.4.1	Reporting SIR Test Results	7
1.1	Scope	1	3.4.1.5	Resistance to ECM Testing	7
1.2	Purpose	1	3.4.1.5.1	Reporting ECM Test Results	7
1.3	Designation	1	3.4.2	Characterization Testing	7
1.4	Interpretation “ Shall ”	2	3.4.2.1	Flux Solids (Nonvolatile) Determination	7
2	APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	2	3.4.2.2	Acid Value Determination	8
2.1	IPC	2	3.4.2.3	Specific Gravity Determination	8
2.2	Joint Industry Standards	2	3.4.2.4	Viscosity of Paste (Tacky) Flux	8
2.3	American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)	2	3.4.2.5	Visual	8
2.4	British Standards	2	3.5	Optional Testing	8
2.5	International Organization for Standards	3	3.5.1	Optional Qualitative Halide Tests	8
2.6	National Conference of Standards Laboratories (NCSL)	3	3.5.1.1	Optional Chlorides and Bromides by Silver Chromate Method	8
2.7	Telcordia Technologies	3	3.5.1.2	Optional Fluorides By Spot Test	8
2.8	International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)	3	3.5.2	Optional SIR Tests	8
2.9	Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH)	3	3.5.2.1	Reporting Values for Optional SIR Test Methods	8
3	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	3	3.5.3	Optional Fungus Resistance Test	8
3.1	Conflict	3	3.5.4	Optional Halogen Content Test	8
3.2	Terms and Definitions and Acronyms	3	3.6	Quality Conformance Testing	9
3.2.1	ECM	3	3.6.1	Acid Value Determination	9
3.2.2	SIR	4	3.6.2	Specific Gravity Determination	9
3.2.3	Supplier	4	3.6.3	Viscosity of Paste (Tacky) Flux	9
3.2.4	Halide	4	3.6.4	Visual	9
3.2.5	Halogen	4	3.7	Performance Testing	9
3.2.6	Low Halogen Flux (Cl and Br)	4	3.7.1	Wetting Balance Test	9
3.2.7	Resin Flux	4	3.7.2	Spread Test - Liquid Flux	9
3.2.8	Rosin Flux	4	4	QUALIFICATION AND QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS	9
3.3	Flux Qualification	4	4.1	Responsibility for Inspection	9
3.3.1	Classification	5	4.1.1	Responsibility for Compliance	9
3.3.1.1	Flux Composition	5	4.1.1.1	Quality Assurance Program	9
3.3.1.2	Flux Type	5	4.1.2	Test Equipment and Inspection Facilities	9
3.3.1.2.1	Flux Activity	5	4.1.3	Inspection Conditions	9
3.3.1.2.2	Halide Content	5	4.2	Types of Inspections	9
3.3.2	Characterization	5	4.3	Qualification Inspection	10
3.4	Qualification Testing	5	4.3.1	Sample Size	10
3.4.1	Classification Testing	5	4.3.2	Inspection Routine	10
3.4.1.1	Copper Mirror Test	5	4.3.3	Requalification	10
3.4.1.2	Corrosion Test	6	4.3.3.1	Formula Variations Constituting Material Change	10
3.4.1.3	Quantitative Halide Content Tests	7	4.3.3.2	Manufacturing Site Change	10
3.4.1.4	SIR Test	7	4.4	Quality Conformance Inspection	11
			4.4.1	Sampling Plan	11

4.4.2	Rejected Lots	11
4.5	Performance Inspection	11

Appendix A	Example Qualification Test Report	12
-------------------	---	-----------

Appendix B	Notes	15
-------------------	--------------------	-----------

Figures

Figure 3-1	Flux Corrosivity by Copper Mirror Test	6
Figure 3-2	Example of No Corrosion	6
Figure 3-3	Example of Minor Corrosion	6

Figure 3-4	Example of Major Corrosion	7
Figure B-1	Typical Wetting Balance Curve	15

Tables

Table 1-1	Flux Identification System	1
Table 3-1	Preparation of Flux Forms for Testing	4
Table 3-2	Test Requirements for Flux Classification	5
Table 3-3	Halogen Content in Low Halogen Materials	8
Table 4-1	Qualification, Quality Conformance and Performance Testing for Flux	10
Table B-1	Spread Area Requirements	16