

ANSI/ANS-2.21-2022



Criteria for Assessing Atmospheric Effects on the Ultimate Heat Sink

An American National Standard

Published by the
American Nuclear Society
555 N. Kensington Ave
La Grange Park, IL 60526

ANSI/ANS-2.21-2022



ANSI/ANS-2.21-2022

**American National Standard
Criteria for Assessing
Atmospheric Effects on the
Ultimate Heat Sink**

Secretariat
American Nuclear Society

Prepared by the
**American Nuclear Society
Standards Committee
Working Group ANS-2.21**

Published by the
**American Nuclear Society
555 North Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA**

Approved January 27, 2022
by the
American National Standards Institute, Inc.

American National Standard

Designation of this document as an American National Standard attests that the principles of openness and due process have been followed in the approval procedure and that a consensus of those directly and materially affected by the standard has been achieved.

This standard was developed under the procedures of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society; these procedures are accredited by the American National Standards Institute, Inc., as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The consensus committee that approved the standard was balanced to ensure that competent, concerned, and varied interests have had an opportunity to participate.

An American National Standard is intended to aid industry, consumers, governmental agencies, and general interest groups. Its use is entirely voluntary. The existence of an American National Standard, in and of itself, does not preclude anyone from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard.

By publication of this standard, the American Nuclear Society does not insure anyone utilizing the standard against liability allegedly arising from or after its use. The content of this standard reflects acceptable practice at the time of its approval and publication. Changes, if any, occurring through developments in the state of the art, may be considered at the time that the standard is subjected to periodic review. It may be reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn at any time in accordance with established procedures. Users of this standard are cautioned to determine the validity of copies in their possession and to establish that they are of the latest issue.

The American Nuclear Society accepts no responsibility for interpretations of this standard made by any individual or by any ad hoc group of individuals. Inquiries about requirements, recommendations, and/or permissive statements (i.e., “shall,” “should,” and “may,” respectively) should be sent to the Society headquarters, ATTN: Standards or to standards@ans.org. Action will be taken to provide appropriate response in accordance with established procedures that ensure consensus.

Comments on this standard are encouraged and should be sent to Society headquarters.

Published by

American Nuclear Society
555 North Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA



This document is copyright protected.

Copyright © 2022 by American Nuclear Society. All rights reserved.

Reproduction prohibited under copyright convention unless written permission is granted by the American Nuclear Society.

Printed in the United States of America

Inquiry Requests

The American Nuclear Society (ANS) Standards Committee will provide responses to inquiries about requirements, recommendations, and/or permissive statements (i.e., “shall,” “should,” and “may,” respectively) in American National Standards that are developed and approved by ANS. Responses to inquiries will be provided according to the Policy Manual for the ANS Standards Committee. Nonrelevant inquiries or those concerning unrelated subjects will be returned with appropriate explanation. ANS does not develop case interpretations of requirements in a standard that are applicable to a specific design, operation, facility, or other unique situation only and therefore is not intended for generic application.

Responses to inquiries on standards are published in ANS’s magazine, *Nuclear News*, and are available publicly at www.ans.org or by contacting standards@ans.org.

Inquiry Format

Inquiry requests shall be submitted on the Standards Inquiry Submittal Form available at <https://ans.org/standards/docs/inquiry-submittal-form.pdf>. Requests shall include the following:

- (1) the name, company name if applicable, mailing address, and telephone number of the inquirer;
- (2) reference to the applicable standard edition, section, paragraph, figure, and/or table;
- (3) the purpose(s) of the inquiry;
- (4) the inquiry stated in a clear, concise manner;
- (5) a proposed reply, if the inquirer is in a position to offer one.

Inquiries should be addressed to

American Nuclear Society
ATTN: Standards
555 N. Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, IL 60526

or standards@ans.org

Foreword

(This foreword does not contain any requirements of American National Standard “Criteria for Assessing Atmospheric Effects on the Ultimate Heat Sink,” ANSI/ANS-2.21-2022, but is included for informational purposes.)

The Ultimate Heat Sink (UHS) constitutes the source of essential service water supply necessary to safely operate, shut down, and cool a nuclear plant during normal and accident conditions. *Code of Federal Regulations* Title 10, “Energy,” Part 50, “Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities” (10 CFR 50), Appendix A, “General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants,” Criterion 44, “Cooling Water,” requires suitable redundancy in the cooling water system features of nuclear power plants to ensure that the safety function is accomplished. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, Criterion 2, “Design Bases for Protection Against Natural Phenomena,” requires that systems, structures, and components important to safety be designed to withstand the effects of natural phenomena without loss of capability to perform their safety functions. The UHS is the complex of water sources, including necessary retaining structures (e.g., a pond or river with its dam) and any canals or conduits, that connects to, but does not include, the cooling water system intake structures for a nuclear power unit.

ANS-2.21 was originally written in 2012 and reaffirmed in 2016. However, there is a continuing need to provide consistency to calculations of atmospheric effects on UHSs at nuclear facilities and for designs to consider potential impacts from climate change. The need for a revision to ANS-2.21 was identified in 2018, to address technical issues and current UHS modeling methods.

This revision of ANS-2.21 considers off-site and/or remotely monitored meteorological data sources, in addition to on-site meteorological data, to improve the spatial representativeness of UHS modeling inputs. It also addresses issues raised in September 2018 by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to the American Nuclear Society Environmental and Siting Consensus Committee chair by recognizing that this standard does not apply to natural draft cooling towers or to the passive cooling systems of newer reactor designs. Potential impacts from climate change are addressed, and critical time periods are acknowledged to be dependent on various system designs. This standard establishes criteria for the use of meteorological data that are both validated and representative of a nuclear facility, and it identifies supporting hydrological information to evaluate effects on UHS performance due to atmospheric conditions. Meteorological input parameters may include dry-bulb temperature, wet-bulb temperature, dewpoint, cloud cover, relative humidity, precipitation, wind speed and direction, incoming shortwave solar radiation, incoming longwave radiation, surface water temperature, and station atmospheric pressure.

Nuclear power plant operators, design professionals, nuclear facility owners, and nuclear vendors/consultants will benefit from an awareness of the inherent limitations of meteorological data collection, as well as potential data resources described in this revision. Examples of applying deterministic and risk-informed, performance-based approaches to developing input data for UHS performance modeling are provided in Appendix A. Appendix B provides examples of adjusting data for representativeness and gap filling. Appendix C provides a listing of additional online resources for meteorological data that will evolve with advances in monitoring technology.

The NRC’s Regulatory Guide 1.27 (Rev. 3), “Ultimate Heat Sink for Nuclear Power Plants,” relies on the design engineer to decide what critical periods in UHS performance are to be evaluated, based on a specific design’s limitations. At the time of this standard’s approval, the NRC was not working on any updates to NUREG-0693, “Analysis of Ultimate

Heat Sink Cooling Ponds,” or NUREG-0733, “Analysis of Ultimate-Heat-Sink Spray Ponds,” originally published in 1980 and 1981 respectively. These methods remain acceptable to the NRC. However, there is a continued need for life-of-plant monitoring and tracking of UHS water temperatures to identify and address any degrading performance of the UHS system, in order to provide sufficient cooling capacity to handle changing environmental conditions now and in the future.

This standard might reference documents and other standards that have been superseded or withdrawn at the time the standard is applied. A statement has been included in the references section that provides guidance on the use of references.

This standard was prepared by the ANS-2.21 Working Group of the American Nuclear Society. The following members contributed to this standard:

M. C. Kinley (Chair), *Duke Energy Corporation*
C. Bowman, *Chuck Bowman Associates, Inc. (retired)*
E. M. Buchak, *Environmental Resources Management*
J. M. Call, *Tennessee Valley Authority*
M. T. Carroll, *ChemStaff*
R. B. Codell, *Individual*
A. P. Dewhurst, *Kinectrics AES, Inc.*
L. C. Haber, *Alden Research Laboratory, Inc.*
C. Y. Li, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*
M. Mazaika, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*
C. A. Mazzola, *Los Alamos National Laboratory*
R. Prasad, *Pacific Northwest National Laboratory*
J. Purciarello, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (retired)*
K. R. Quinlan, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*
L. L. Wheeler, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (retired)*

The Siting: Atmospheric Subcommittee had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard:

J. M. Call (Chair), *Oasys, Inc.*
J. D. Baum, *ABSG Consulting, Inc.*
T. Bellinger, *Consolidated Nuclear Solutions LLC*
D. A. Bruggeman, *Los Alamos National Laboratory*
M. C. Kinley, *Duke Energy Corporation*
R. R. Linn, *Los Alamos National Laboratory*
C. A. Mazzola, *Project Enhancement Corporation*
K. R. Quinlan, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*

The Environmental and Siting Consensus Committee had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard:

C. A. Mazzola (Chair), *Los Alamos National Laboratory*
L. S. Parks (Vice Chair), *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*
A. A. Bahadori, *Kansas State University*
T. Bellinger, *Consolidated Nuclear Solutions LLC*
D. A. Bruggeman, *Los Alamos National Laboratory*
J. M. Call, *Tennessee Valley Authority*
W. L. Ebert, *Argonne National Laboratory*

Y. Gao, *Dominion Energy*
B. J. Gutierrez, *U.S. Department of Energy*
M. C. Kinley, *Duke Energy Corporation*
Y. Li, *Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board*
K. Y. Ng, *Bechtel Infrastructure and Power Corporation*
J. O'Brien, *U.S. Department of Energy*
S. Rosenbloom, *Los Alamos National Laboratory*
J. B. Savy, *Savy Risk Consultants*
A. A. Simpkins, *Oak Ridge Associated Universities*
J. Xu, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*

Contents

Section	Page
1 Scope	1
2 Acronyms and definitions	2
2.1 Acronyms	2
2.2 Shall, should, and may.....	2
2.3 Definitions	2
3 Requirements	4
4 UHS function	4
5 Deterministic and probabilistic approaches to the standard.....	4
6 UHS types	6
6.1 Closed-cycle systems.....	6
6.1.1 Cooling ponds and small lakes.....	7
6.1.2 Spray ponds	7
6.1.3 Wet cooling towers.....	7
6.1.4 Dry cooling towers.....	8
6.2 Open-cycle systems	8
7 Heat transfer and water balance processes.....	8
7.1 Surface heat exchange	8
7.2 Evaporative water loss and rainfall	9
7.3 Hydraulic effects of winds.....	9
8 Meteorological data for use in UHS calculations	9
8.1 Data sources	10
8.1.1 The NWS and other resources.....	11
8.1.2 Caveats for data users.....	12
8.2 Meteorological parameters and supporting hydrological information.....	13
8.2.1 Dry-bulb air temperature and measures of humidity.....	13
8.2.2 Solar radiation and cloud cover.....	13
8.2.3 Wind speed and direction	14
8.2.4 Station atmospheric pressure.....	15
8.2.5 Precipitation and drought	15
8.2.6 Evaporative heat transfer and the UHS water budget.....	15
8.2.7 UHS water temperature.....	17
8.3 Determining representativeness of meteorological data	17
8.3.1 Adjustment of individual parameters	19
8.3.2 Calculated water temperature approach	20
8.4 Quality assurance and required records.....	20
8.5 Climate change considerations	20
9 References	22
 Appendices	
Appendix A Comparison of Risk-Informed and Deterministic Approaches	30
Appendix B Example Calculations.....	37
Appendix C Related Links – Meteorological and Satellite Data Products	45

Tables

Table B.1	Partial and total equilibrium temperature differences using on-site and off-site data.....	38
Table B.2	Computed and observed water temperatures.....	41
Table B.3	Computed and observed water temperature peaks	41

Figures

Figure A.1	Yearly peak return temperatures, with linear regression.....	31
Figure A.2	Frequency analysis of peak annual detrended pond temperatures with 95% confidence intervals.....	32
Figure A.3	Observed and modeled UHS pond temperatures for model validation	34
Figure A.4	Cumulative probability of peak water temperatures for entire meteorological record	36
Figure B.1	Station locations	39
Figure B.2	Water temperatures observed at Site S.....	39
Figure B.3	Comparison of computed response temperatures at ASOS Station B with water temperature observations at Site S	40
Figure B.4	Comparison of computed response temperatures at ASOS Station A with water temperature observations at Site S	40
Figure B.5	Comparison of calibrated response temperatures at Station A with water temperature observations at Site S	41